

1 Thomas M. Melsheimer (melsheimer@fr.com) (*admitted pro hac vice*) (TX #13922550)  
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 2 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000  
 Dallas, TX 75201  
 3 Dallas, TX 75201  
 Telephone: (214) 747-5070  
 4 Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

5 Craig E. Countryman (countryman@fr.com) (*admitted pro hac vice*) (CA #244601)  
 Juanita Brooks (brooks@fr.com) (*admitted pro hac vice*) (CA #75934)  
 6 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 12390 El Camino Real  
 7 San Diego, CA 92130  
 Telephone: (858) 678-5070  
 8 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

9 Michael J. Kane (kane@fr.com) (*admitted pro hac vice*) (MN #247625)  
 William R. Woodford (woodford@fr.com) (*admitted pro hac vice*) (MN#322595)  
 10 John C. Adkisson (*admitted pro hac vice*) (MN #266358)  
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 11 3200 RBC Plaza  
 60 South Sixth Street  
 12 Minneapolis, MN 55402  
 Telephone: (612) 335-5070  
 13 Facsimile: (612) 288-9696

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 16 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

17 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
 18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 PULSE ENGINEERING, INC., and  
 21 PULSE ELECTRONICS, CORP.,  
 22 Defendants.

2:07-cv-00331-APG (PAL)

**UNOPPOSED REQUEST FOR  
 EXTENTION OF TIME TO FILE**

23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 Plaintiff Halo Electronics, Inc. respectively requests an extension of time to file its reply  
2 brief in support of its Motion for Enhanced Damages and Attorney Fees. (D.I. 608.) After the  
3 Status Conference on September 27, 2016, the Court issued minutes that set a December 16, 2016,  
4 deadline for Halo's brief. Halo seeks a two-week extension to December 30, 2016. Halo requests  
5 this extension because Pulse's opposition seeks to rely on evidence that is not in the record, namely  
6 two opinions of counsel that had been maintained as privileged by Pulse throughout discovery and  
7 trial. Halo agreed to the briefing schedule during the status conference based on Pulse's  
8 representations that the briefing would be focused on the record developed at trial.

9 Pulse does not oppose Halo's request for an extension of time. This is the first request for  
10 an extension of time for Halo's reply brief on its Motion for Enhanced Damages and Attorney Fees.

11  
12 Dated: December 6, 2016

13 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

14  
15 By: /s/ William R. Woodford.  
16 William R. Woodford (*pro hac vice*)  
(woodford@fr.com)

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
18 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED:

20  
21 Dated: December 7, 2016



22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing  
3 document has been served on December 6, 2016 to all counsel of record who are deemed to have  
4 consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per Civil Local Rule 5005 (b)(2).  
5

6  
7 /s/ William R. Woodford  
8 William R. Woodford  
9 woodford@fr.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 HALO ELECTRONICS, INC.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28