MDL-1849 (Continued)

Western District of Oklahoma

Timothy J. Bumgarner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-278

District of Oregon

Allison M. Cassie, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-333

District of South Carolina

Rodney Brewer, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-3595

District of South Dakota

Angela K. Smoot v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-4038

Eastern District of Tennessee

Carolyn Baker Hall, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-46

Eastern District of Texas

Aaron Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-1

Northern District of Texas

Stephanie Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-409

Western District of Texas

Adelita Dickson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-186

Eastern District of Wisconsin

Kelly K. Hoell v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-267

MDL-1850 -- In re Pet Food Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Shirley Sexton for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; motion of plaintiff Christina Troiano for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida; and motion of plaintiffs Tom Whaley; Stacey Heller, et al.; Audrey Kornelius, et al.; Suzanne E. Johnson, et al.; and Michele Suggett, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

Western District of Arkansas

Charles Ray Sims, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-5053
Richard Scott Widen, et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-5055

Central District of California

Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1958

District of Connecticut

Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-469

Southern District of Florida

Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60428

Northern District of Illinois

Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-1543

District of New Jersey

Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1338

Eastern District of Tennessee

Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-94

MDL-1850 (Continued)

Western District of Washington

Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-411
Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-453
Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-454
Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-455
Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-457

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Rowan Companies, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Western District of Arkansas

Eddie Joe Wooten, et al. v. CertainTeed Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2004

Northern District of California

Carla Groce, et al. v. Todd Shipyards Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-241
Geraldine Burton, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-702

Southern District of Illinois

Jack Franklin v. CSX Transportation, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Raymond v. Borden, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-11140

Middle District of Louisiana

Teressa Bell, etc. v. Rowan Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-785
Aurelie Breau Waguespack, et al. v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-965

Western District of Louisiana

Daniel Jarrell v. Franks Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-2190

MDL-875 (Continued)

District of Maryland

Linda Hudson, et al. v. Rapid-American Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-3319

Southern District of New York

Talbot P. Frawley, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-15395

MDL-1335 -- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Scott Davis, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire:

Northern District of Illinois

Scott Davis, et al. v. Dennis Kozlowski, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-227

MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Tires Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Leonie Moise, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

Middle District of Florida

Leonie Moise, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC,
C.A. No. 2:06-675

MDL-1373 (Continued)

Oppositions of defendants Bridgestone Firestone North American Tire, LLC and Ford Motor Company to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas:

Southern District of Indiana

Susan Janssen, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5811 (W.D. Arkansas, C.A. No. 2:04-2164)

MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Kyle Sandera to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of California

Kyle Sandera v. Bank of America Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-34

MDL-1456 -- In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff The State of Idaho to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Idaho

State of Idaho v. Abbott Laboratories, C.A. No. 1:07-93

MDL-1566 -- In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendant Reliant Energy Services, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

Western District of Wisconsin

Arandell Corp., et al. v. Xcel Energy, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76

MDL-1596 -- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

Motions of defendant Eli Lilly & Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

District of South Carolina

Samuel Davis v. Eli Lilly & Co., C.A. No. 3:06-2312
Kimberly J. Johnson, et al. v. Ricardo Jose Fermo, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-2994

MDL-1604 -- In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Brown; Linda Fleshman; David Waters, Sr., et al.; Donald Moden, et al.; Annette Miranda; and Stanley C. Beardslee, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Texas

Mary Brown v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-92

Northern District of Texas

Linda Fleshman v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:07-108

Western District of Texas

David Waters, Sr., et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-61
Donald Moden, et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-62

MDL-1604 (Continued)

Western District of Texas (Continued)

Annette Miranda v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-34
Stanley C. Beardslee, et al. v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-137

MDL-1626 -- In re Accutane Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Darrell W. Borum, Jr. v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-94

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Central District of California

Ruby Lois Moore Estate, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-7548

Eastern District of California

Mosetta Bernstine v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-34
Katherine Harrison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-42
James Daniels, Jr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-48
Barbara Ford-Daniels v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-51
Lynn Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-57
Irma Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-58
Carolyn Lee Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-61
Mary Ann Harris v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-67
John Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-68

MDL-1657 (Continued)

Eastern District of California (Continued)

Ishmael Haqq v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-73
David Tenn, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-75
Estate of Juanita Battle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-77
Denise Denison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-79

Northern District of California

Dorothy Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-65
Stanford Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-67
Estate of Robert Badke, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-69
Fred Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-75
Jeffrey Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76
Juliana Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-77
Jacquelyn Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-78
Arthur Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-68
Earnestine Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-70
Shahla Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-66
Estate of Sandra Ellis v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-74
Nader Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-79
Randolph Dossett v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-80
Suzanne Dante v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-81

Southern District of California

Genevieve Tadman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-2151
Del Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-10
Carol Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-11
Charles Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-12
Teresa Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-14
Arlene Purvis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-15

Northern District of West Virginia

Helen Jean Anderson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-151

MDL-1657 (Continued)

Southern District of West Virginia

Paul Noe, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1004
Leota Faye Dickens v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1005
Madonna Armentrout, etc. v. Rite Aid of West Virginia, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation
MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana in MDL-1657 and to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in MDL-1699:

Northern District of Alabama

William D. McCluskey, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-232

Eastern District of California

Carol Ann Davies, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2768
Lois Hornsby, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2776
Bertha Townsend, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2780
Arthur Bluett, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2787
Lisa Mathews, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2807
Geneva Styles, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2812
Ali Muhilddine, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2814
Elizabeth A. Cochran v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2817
Kevin L. Chaney v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2826
Wendel Vantine, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2851
Barry Dohner, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2853
Jerry Strange v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2875

Southern District of Illinois

Robert J. Smith, Jr., etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-964
Wilburn Williamson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1023

MDL-1700 -- In re FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., Employment Practices Litigation (No. II)

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Quintin Gonzalez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

Southern District of Florida

Carlos Quintin Gonzalez v. FedEx Home Delivery, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-22964

MDL-1708 -- In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Alice Miller, etc., and Estefana Silva, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

Mary Alice Miller, etc. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-41

Southern District of Texas

Estefana Silva, et al. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 7:07-2

MDL-1715 -- In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Rodney S. Means and David L. Murphy, et al., and defendants Dream House Mortgage Corp., Northwest Title & Escrow Corp., and Litton Loan Servicing LP to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Indiana

Rodney S. Means v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-409

District of Massachusetts

Kelly Ann I. Graham, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-40195

MDL-1715 (Continued)

Eastern District of Missouri

Paul W. Derda, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1649

Eastern District of Texas

David L. Murphy, et al. v. Argent Mortgage Co., LLC, C.A. No. 1:06-781

MDL-1718 -- In re Ford Motor Co. Speed Control Deactivation Switch Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Company, etc.; David Giorgini, et al.; and Oscar Reyes, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

Middle District of Florida

Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Co., etc. v. Ford Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 8:06-2307

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

David Giorgini, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., C.A. No. 2:06-968

Southern District of Texas

Oscar Reyes, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-165

MDL-1726 -- In re Medtronic, Inc., Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiff Patricia Kavalir and defendant Medtronic, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

Hazel E. Ricciotti, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-233

Northern District of Georgia

Claudeena Watt-Austin, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-293

Northern District of Illinois

Patricia Kavalir v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-835

MDL-1742 -- In re Ortho Evra Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Jacci Morrison, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Northern District of California

Jacci Morrison, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-8

MDL-1760 -- In re Aredia and Zometa Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joan LeCompte, et al., and Beatrice Rios to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

District of New Jersey

Joan LeCompte, et al. v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-357

Southern District of Texas

Beatrice Rios v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:07-2

MDL-1763 -- In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Sherryl Jean Thornton to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Alabama

Sherryl Jean Thornton v. Michael Mastromarino, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-4899

MDL-1781 -- In re Cintas Corp. Overtime Pay Arbitration Litigation

Opposition of respondents in all actions to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Northern District of California

Cintas Corp. v. Randall M. Cornelius, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5078 (M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:06-227)
Cintas Corp. v. Darren Mitchell Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5079 (N.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:06-492)
Cintas Corp. v. Ramon J. Baudier, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5080 (S.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 1:06-148)
Cintas Corp. v. Robert J. Abel, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5081 (D. Arizona, C.A. No. 2:06-693)
Cintas Corp. v. Roberto Carlos Alegria, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5082 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:06-1750)
Cintas Corp. v. Ronald Arvizu, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5083 (E.D. California, C.A. No. 2:06-611)
Cintas Corp. v. Daniel E. Ainsworth, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5084 (S.D. California, C.A. No. 3:06-632)
Cintas Corp. v. John D. Bickham, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5085 (D. Colorado, C.A. No. 1:06-427)
Cintas Corp. v. Eugene Christensen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5086 (D. Connecticut, C.A. No. 3:06-360)
Cintas Corp. v. Charles Leroy Gray, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5087 (D. Delaware, C.A. No. 1:06-162)
Cintas Corp. v. Alice Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5088 (M.D. Florida, C.A. No. 8:06-400)
Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Frazier, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5089 (N.D. Florida, C.A. No. 3:06-103)

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

Cintas Corp. v. David J. Abrahamsen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5090 (S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:06-60310)
Cintas Corp. v. Matthew J. DeFelix, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5091 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-38)
Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Aybar, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5092 (N.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-569)
Cintas Corp. v. Joe L. Banks, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5093 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-35)
Cintas Corp. v. David DeBilzan, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5094 (D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:06-104)
Cintas Corp. v. James Allen Burress, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5095 (C.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1068)
Cintas Corp. v. Vince Agozzino, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5096 (N.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1343)
Cintas Corp. v. James Atkins, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5097 (N.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 2:06-85)
Cintas Corp. v. Ryan Albright, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5098 (S.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 1:06-401)
Cintas Corp. v. Donald Allen Griffin, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5099 (S.D. Iowa, C.A. No. 4:06-91)
Cintas Corp. v. Matthew L. Blackman, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5100 (D. Kansas, C.A. No. 2:06-2091)
Cintas Corp. v. Danny L. Brown, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5101 (E.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 2:06-52)
Cintas Corp. v. Jason Agostini, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5102 (W.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 3:06-131)
Cintas Corp. v. Jack Addison, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5103 (E.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 2:06-1247)
Cintas Corp. v. Gustave Fontenot, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5104 (M.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:06-188)
Cintas Corp. v. Ivan Edward Avery, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5105 (W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 6:06-391)
Cintas Corp. v. Randall Bowles, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5106 (D. Maine, C.A. No. 2:06-55)

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

Cintas Corp. v. Joe Andrews, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5107 (D. Maryland, C.A. No. 8:06-641)
Cintas Corp. v. Philip Daniel Blaisdell, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5108 (D. Massachusetts, C.A. No. 1:06-10442)
Cintas Corp. v. Brandon Alioto, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5109 (E.D. Michigan, C.A. No. 2:06-11043)
Cintas Corp. v. Travis M. Ault, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5110 (W.D. Michigan, C.A. No. 1:06-180)
Cintas Corp. v. John Callahan, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5111 (D. Minnesota, C.A. No. 0:06-1012)
Cintas Corp. v. Gregory Cole Bigbee, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5112 (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 3:06-137)
Cintas Corp. v. Relton Barnes, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5113 (E.D. Missouri, C.A. No. 4:06-450)
Cintas Corp. v. Randall Adams, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5114 (W.D. Missouri, C.A. No. 4:06-208)
Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5115 (D. Nebraska, C.A. No. 8:06-262)
Cintas Corp. v. Anthony Dean Hamby, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5116 (D. Nevada, C.A. No. 2:06-300)
Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5117 (D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:06-1164)
Cintas Corp. v. Tony L. Bostick, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5119 (D. New Mexico, C.A. No. 1:06-185)
Cintas Corp. v. Troy Amott, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5120 (E.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-1105)
Cintas Corp. v. Hugh J. Kingsley, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5121 (N.D. New York, C.A. No. 5:06-311)
Cintas Corp. v. Louis Alves, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5122 (S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-1933)
Cintas Corp. v. Robert F. Bowles, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5123 (W.D. New York, C.A. No. 6:06-6147)
Cintas Corp. v. Matthew Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5124 (E.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 5:06-113)

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

Cintas Corp. v. Gus Aranegui, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5126 (M.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 1:06-225)
Cintas Corp. v. Jonathan Allred, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5127 (W.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-114)
Cintas Corp. v. Bradley Agler, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5128 (N.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 3:06-7083)
Cintas Corp. v. Donald Adkins, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5129 (S.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 1:06-126)
Cintas Corp. v. Robert Hall, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5130 (E.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 6:06-97)
Cintas Corp. v. Brent Berna, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5131 (N.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 4:06-148)
Cintas Corp. v. Raymond Mac Harris, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5132 (W.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 5:06-247)
Cintas Corp. v. Dennis Bassett, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5133 (D. Oregon, C.A. No. 6:06-335)
Cintas Corp v. Kenneth W. Baptist, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5134 (E.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-1053)
Cintas Corp. v. Brian Ash, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5135 (M.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 3:06-517)
Cintas Corp. v. Christopher Derenzo, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5136 (W.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-324)
Cintas Corp. v. Joseph E. Edwards, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5137 (D. Rhode Island, C.A. No. 1:06-112)
Cintas Corp. v. Thomas Eugene Alert, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5138 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-762)
Cintas Corp. v. Stephen Barlow, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5139 (E.D. Texas, C.A. No. 1:06-137)
Cintas Corp. v. Bryan Armstrong, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5140 (N.D. Texas, C.A. No. 3:06-432)
Cintas Corp. v. Judd Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5141 (S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:06-824)
Cintas Corp. v. Issac Anaya, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5142 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 5:06-216)
Cintas Corp. v. Wade Bell, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5143 (D. Utah, C.A. No. 2:06-205)

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

Cintas Corp. v. John O. Ansink, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5144 (E.D. Virginia, C.A. No. 1:06-267)
Cintas Corp. v. Nelson Carter, Jr., C.A. No. 4:06-5145 (W.D. Virginia, C.A. No. 5:06-23)
Cintas Corp. v. Scott Burgess, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5146 (E.D. Washington, C.A. No. 2:06-3023)
Cintas Corp. v. Michael Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5147 (W.D. Washington, C.A. No. 2:06-332)
Cintas Corp. v. Nathan J. Andree, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5148 (E.D. Wisconsin, C.A. No. 2:06-303)
Cintas Corp. v. Chris Brown, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5149 (W.D. Wisconsin, C.A. No. 3:06-133)

MDL-1789 -- In re Fosamax Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Mary Ellen Potgieter to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of New Jersey

Mary Ellen Potgieter v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2

PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.

(b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.

(c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

(i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or

(ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.

(d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.

(e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.

(f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.

(g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

(h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.

(i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.

MDL DOCKET NO. 1850

THIS FORM MUST BE RETURNED TO THE JUDICIAL PANEL NO LATER THAN **MAY 14, 2007**

TO: Clerk of the Panel
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation
One Columbus Circle, NE
Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, DC 20002-8004

NOTICE OF PRESENTATION OR WAIVER OF ORAL ARGUMENT

____ This is to give notice that the following designated attorney shall PRESENT ORAL ARGUMENT at the Panel hearing session on behalf of the designated party/parties. Panel Rule 16.1(e) states that:

> Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.
>
> Also note Rule 16.1(f) requiring counsel with like positions to confer prior to the oral argument for the purpose of selecting a spokesperson to avoid duplication during oral argument.

____ This is to give notice that the party/parties noted hereafter will WAIVE ORAL ARGUMENT pursuant to Rule 16.1(d).

____ This is to give notice that the party/parties noted hereafter will WAIVE ORAL ARGUMENT IF ALL OTHER PARTIES IN THIS MATTER WAIVE ORAL ARGUMENT; otherwise the following designated attorney shall present oral argument at the Panel hearing session on behalf of the designated party/parties pursuant to Rule 16.1(d).

Date	Name	Authorized Signature

Party/Parties Represented, District(s) & Civil Action Number(s) **(list even if waiving)**:

Name and Address of Attorney Designated to Present Oral Argument:

Telephone No.: _____

ORIGINAL ONLY OF ORAL ARGUMENT APPEARANCE NEEDED FOR FILING. ***THIS NOTICE MUST BE SERVED ON ALL OTHER PARTIES IN THE AFFECTED LITIGATION AND A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE WITH PANEL SERVICE LIST MUST BE ATTACHED TO THIS ORAL ARGUMENT APPEARANCE.***

JPML Form 9 (4/01)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

DIRECT REPLY TO:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel
One Columbus Circle, NE
Thurgood Marshall Federal
Judiciary Building
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800
Fax: [202] 502-2888

http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

ADVISORY

Counsel appearing for oral argument before the Panel are advised to familiarize themselves with local court practices that may prohibit bringing cellphones and/or computers into the courthouse.