-LRL Grundy v.	Skolnick et al II	I
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	* * *	
10	RICKY LEE GRUNDY,	) Case No.: 2:07-cv-00694-RLH-LRL
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER
12	VS.	(Motion to Vacate–#130; Motion for Hearing–#131)
13	HOWARD SKOLNICK, et al.,	)
14	Defendants.	
15		_/
16	Before the Court is Plaintiff Ricky Lee Grundy's <b>Motion to Vacate</b> (#130, filed	
17	April 28, 2011). Also before the Court is Grundy's <b>Motion for Hearing</b> (#131, filed April 28,	
18	2011). The Court need not wait for Defendants to respond to either motion.	
19	Grundy asks the Court to vacate its Order (#128, March, 31, 2011), and to order a	
20	hearing on Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment (#108, Dec. 2, 2010). Nonetheless, days	
21	after filing this motion, Grundy appealed the same order that he asks this Court to vacate. This	
22	appeal divests this Court of jurisdiction over these motions. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals	
23	will now determine the propriety of the Court's order and the Court dismisses both of Grundy's	
24	motions as moot.	
25	/	
26	/	
AO 72 (Rev. 8/82)		1

Doc. 134

1	CONCLUSION	
2	Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,	
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate (#130) is DENIED as	
4	moot.	
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Hearing (#131) is	
6	DENIED as moot.	
7	Dated: May 3, 2011.	
8	$\frac{1}{2}$	
9	ROGER L. HUNT	
10	Chief United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		