1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 9 2:07-CV-1088 JCM (GWF) CHRISTOPHER A. JONES, 10 Plaintiff, N/A Date: 11 Time: N/A v. 12 DWIGHT NEVEN, et al., 13 14 Defendant. 15 16 **ORDER** Presently before the court is *pro se* plaintiff Christopher A. Jones' motion for order. (Doc. 17 #251). Defendants James Cox, et. al. filed a non-opposition. (Doc. #253). Plaintiff did not file a 18 19 reply. 20 Plaintiff's motion seeks an order from the court directing the clerk to include an exhibit, 21 attached to the motion, as exhibit 29 in his motion for summary judgment. (Doc. #251). Plaintiff 22 asserts that he inadvertently omitted this exhibit. 23 In their non-opposition, defendants assert that they "consider this motion an errata and have 24 no objection to the proposed correction to [p]laintiff's motion." (Doc. #253). 25 Good cause appearing, and there being no opposition, 26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that pro se plaintiff Christopher 27 A. Jones' motion for order (doc. #251) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. 28 James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

-GWF Jones v. Neven et al

Doc. 265

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall detach the exhibit from plaintiff's motion (doc. #251) and enter it separately as exhibit 29 to the plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, which was filed on December 16, 2011. (Doc. #244).

DATED February 24, 2012.

Xellus C. Mahan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE