1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * *
7	
8	MALCOLM L. GRAY,
9	Plaintiff,
10	vs. ORDER
11	HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,
12	Defendente
13	Defendants.
14	Having read and considered Plaintiff Gray's Motion to Show Cause Why
15	Plaintiff's Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed (Doc. #53), and Defendants'
16	Response thereto (Doc. #55) and finding that Plaintiff has failed to serve the
17	remaining undismissed Defendants in this action notwithstanding two extensions of
18	time within which to do so, and finding further that Plaintiff has not met his burden
19	of showing that good cause exists for his failure to timely serve the remaining
20	named Defendants in this action, and good cause appearing,
21	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is hereby DISMISSED
22	with prejudice.
23	DATED: February 16, 2012.
24	
25	Phip M. Phr
26	PHILIP M. PRO
	United States District Judge