

1 United States, no. 12-1086. (Doc. # 296, 7).

2 II. Discussion

Both plaintiff and defendant do not oppose reopening this case and holding a status and scheduling conference. Defendant SCEA, however, requests a stay of these proceedings and that a conference be set approximately 90 days out or once a decision is rendered in the Supreme Court regarding the pending certiorari petition. Defendant SCEA's request for entry of a stay based on its *belief* that the petition for certiorari presents a substantial question and that there is good cause for a stay.

9 "The District Court has broad discretion to stay proceedings as an incident to its power to 10 control its own docket." Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681, 706 (1997) (citing Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 11 U.S. 248, 254 (1936)). In determining whether to grant a motion to stay, "the competing interests 12 which will be affected by the granting or refusal to grant a stay must be weighed." Lockyer v. Mirant 13 Corp., 398 F.3d 1098, 1110 (9th Cir. 2005) (citing CMAX Inc. v. Hall, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 14 1962)). Traditionally, the decision to grant a stay pending review requires "consideration of four 15 factors: (1) whether the stay applicant has made a strong showing that he is likely to succeed on the 16 merits; (2) whether the applicant will be irreparably injured absent a stay; (3) whether issuance of 17 the stay will substantially injure the other parties interested in the proceeding; and (4) where the public interest lies." Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009) (quoting Hilton v. Braunskill, 481 18 19 U.S. 770, 776 (1987)).

Despite defendant's arguments to the contrary, this court does not find that this case presents
the circumstances necessary to warrant staying this patent infringement case which has been pending
over five years. Defendants have neither sought a stay of the mandate under Fed. R. App. P. 41(d)
nor a stay from the Supreme Court under Supreme Court Rule 23. The court does not find entry of
a stay appropriate at this time.¹

25

 ¹ The court notes that the likelihood that the Supreme Court will grant certiorari is unlikely. The Supreme Court receives approximately 10,000 petitions for certiorari each year and hears only about 75–80 cases. Frequently Asked Questions, Supreme Court of the United States, http://www.supremecourt.gov/faq.aspx# faqgi9 (last visited March 27, 2013).

1	III.	Conclusion
2		Accordingly,
3		IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion to
4	reoper	n the case (doc. # 295) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
5		IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that status and scheduling conference shall be held on April
6	18, 20	13, at 10:00 a.m.
7		DATED March 27, 2013.
8		
9		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge		- 3 -