


1 Defendants respond that Plaintiffs never asserted trademark rights in Bob
2 Marley's identity until summary judgment, and thus discovery regarding unauthorized third
3 party use of Bob Marley's identity was not pursued. Defendants contend they specifically
4 asked for discovery relating to unauthorized third party use of Bob Marley's name, likeness,
5 or image, and Plaintiffs responded that such information was irrelevant. Defendants thus
6 request the Court order Plaintiffs to produce documents in their possession which reflect the
7 unauthorized use of Bob Marley's name and image. As to the specific exhibits Plaintiffs
8 identify, Defendants contend the Court should defer ruling on them until trial.

9 The Court will grant Plaintiff's motion as to the specifically identified exhibits.
10 Defendants' counsel is not a witness in this case, and will not be available to authenticate
11 the Google printout or the printout of the Wolfgang's Vault website. Even if another
12 witness could authenticate the printouts, Defendants present no evidence or testimony
13 indicating that they could discern which entries on the printouts show authorized versus
14 unauthorized uses of Bob Marley's image. As to the CNN article, the article is hearsay and
15 Defendants have not identified any hearsay exception or nonhearsay use for the article.

16 The Court also will grant Plaintiff's motion to the extent it seeks to prevent
17 Defendants from producing at trial any new documents or eliciting testimony regarding
18 unauthorized third party use outside the parameters of what the parties have exchanged in
19 discovery. The discovery deadline has passed, and production of any new documents or
20 evidence would prejudice Plaintiff. This Court previously denied Defendants' motion to
21 reopen discovery on this issue, and the Court will not revisit the matter.

22
23 DATED: August 31, 2010

24 
25 PHILIP M. PRO
26 United States District Judge