

26 Order of Contempt, Plaintiffs bear the burden of proving by clear and convincing

1	evidence that the allege contemnor violated the Injunction, that such violation was
2	beyond substantial compliance, and was not based on a good faith and reasonable
3	interpretation of the Injunction. Labor/Community Strategy CTR v. Los Angeles
4	Metro Transportation Authority, 564 F. 3d 1115, 1123 (9th Cir. 2009). The Court
5	finds that the evidence and declarations offered by Plaintiffs fail to meet their
6	burden with regard to each of the alleged contemnors.
7	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Contempt
8	(Doc. #344) is <b>DENIED</b> .
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant AVELA's Countermotion
10	for Attorneys Fees and Costs (Doc. #355) is <b>DENIED</b> .
11	DATED: May 18, 2012.
12	Phip: M. Phr
13	PHILIP M. PRO
14	United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2