	1	
1 2	Philip Heller, PLC (CA State Bar No. 113938) ph@philipheller.com Jerold Fagelbaum, Esq. (CA State Bar No. 92584)	
3	office@fhllplaw.com FAGELBAUM & HELLER LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 4250	
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310.286.7666	
5	Facsimile: 310.286.7086	
6	C. STANLEY HUNTERTON, ESQ Nevada Bar No. 5044 HUNTERTON & ASSOCIATES	
7	333 S. Sixth Street	
8	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: 702,388,0098 Facsimile: 702,388,0361	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Phase II Chin, LLC	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
13	PHASE II CHIN, LLC and LOVE &) Case No. 2:08-cv-00162-JCM-GWF
14	MONEY LLC (formerly dba O.P.M.L.V., LLC),	}
15	Plaintiffs,	> REPLY BY PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER- > DEFENDANT PHASE II CHIN LLC TO
16	v.	COUNTERCLAIM BY FORUM SHOPS,
17	FORUM SHOPS, LLC, FORUM	} LLC
18	DEVELOPERS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, SIMON PROPERTY GROUP LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, SIMON PROPERTY	}
19	GROUP, INC., CAESARS PALACE) }
20	CORP., and CAESARS PALACE REALTY CORP.	[Hon. James C. Mahan]
21	D-f 1	}
22	Defendants.	}
23	FORUM SHOPS, LLC	}
24	Counterclaimant,	}
25	CHIN LV, LLC	}
26	Counter-Defendant.	}
27		}
28		·

Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Phase II Chin LLC ("Counter-Defendant" or "Chinois") hereby replies to this Counterclaim filed by Defendant and Counterclaimant Forum Shops, LLC ("Counterclaimant" or "Forum Shops") on April 1, 2009.

REPLY TO COUNTER-CLAIM

- 1. Counter-Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim. Counter-Defendant admits that Forum Shops is the lessor under that certain lease between Forum Development Limited Partnership and GGH Restaurant, LLC entered into on or about March 18, 1997, as amended by the Lease Amendment entered into on or about October 9, 2003 and that the property which is the subject of the lease is located in the Forum Shops Mall in Las Vegas, Nevada. Counter-Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim.
- 2. Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim.
- 3. Counter-Defendant admits that effective October 9, 2003, the parties entered into an amendment to the lease permitting Chinois to operate OPM in part of the leased premises Wednesdays through Sundays from 10:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m. each following morning. Except as so admitted, Courter-Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim.
- 4. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim to the extent that they inaccurately construe the Amendment which, as a written document, speaks for itself. Counter-Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim.
- 5. Counter-Defendant admits that Tom Kaplan wrote a letter to Gary Lewis on November 12, 2002 which document speaks for itself. Except as so

admitted, Counter-Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim.

- 6. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim.
- 7. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim.
- 8. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim.
- 9. Counter-Defendant admits that Forum Shops sent a letter to Chinois dated March 6, 2006 which documents speaks for itself and that more than thirty days have elapsed since that time. Except as so admitted, Counter-Defendant denies every remaining allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim.
- 10. In response to Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant admits the lease contains a Section 13.1 which document speaks for itself. Except as so admitted, Counter-Defendant denies every remaining allegation of Paragraph 10.
- 11. In response to Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant admits the lease contains a Section 13.1 which document speaks for itself. Except as so admitted, Counter-Defendant denies every remaining allegation of Paragraph 11.
- 12. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim.
- 13. In response to Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant admits the lease contains a Section 18.1(b) which documents speak for itself. Except as so admitted, Counter-Defendant denies every remaining allegation of Paragraph 13.
- 14. Counter-Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

27

28

23.

cause in fact of the alleged harm for which Counterclaimant seeks relief.

No conduct of Counter-Defendant was either the legal cause or the

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24. The Counterclaim fails to meet the standard for issuance of a declaratory judgment under applicable law.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25. Counterclaimant is not entitled to attorney's fees under the lease.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. Counterclaimant's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by its own contractual breaches.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. Counter-Defendant did not breach any legal duty to Counterclaimant under the Lease, the Amendment or otherwise.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

28. Counter-Defendant currently has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether it may have other, as yet unstated affirmative defenses available and therefore reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

29. Counterclaimant's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

30. If Counterclaimant was injured and damaged as alleged, which is specifically denied, then the injury and damages were caused, in whole or part, by the acts or omissions of others, whether individual, corporate or otherwise, whether named, or unnamed for whose conduct Counter-Defendant is not responsible.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. If Counter-Claimant was injured and damaged as alleged, which is specifically denied, then the injuries and damages resulted from an independent,

intervening and/or superseding cause or causes for which Counter-Defendant is 1 2 not responsible. 3 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 32. Counterclaimant claims are barred in whole or in part by 5 Counterclaimant's failure to mitigate its damages. б 7 WHEREFORE, Counter-Defendant Chinois prays for relief as follows: 8 That Counterclaimant Forum Shops take nothing by way of 1. 9 its Counterclaim and that judgment be entered in favor of 10 Counter-Defendant Chinois; 11 For an award of attorney fees and costs; and 2. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and 12 3. 13 proper. 14 15 Dated: April 16, 2009 FAGELBAUM & HELLER LLP 16 17 2049 Century Park East, Suite 4250 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3254 18 19 and 20 Dated: April 16, 2009 HUNTERTONA ASSOCIATES 21 By: 22 C. Stanley Hunterton 23 333 S. Sixth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 24 Attorneys for Phase II Chin, LLC 25 26 27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 **[US DISTRICT COURT -NEVADA** 2 CASE NO. 2:08-CV-00162 JCM (GWF] 3 I hereby certify that on April 16, 2009 I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing document REPLY BY PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANT PHASE II CHIN LLC 4 TO COUNTERCLIAM BY FORUM SHOPS to be served on counsel for all parties via electronic filing the Court's ECF System. 5 6 **LIONEL SAWYER & COLLINS** 7 Samuel S. Lionel, Esq. Charles McCrea, Esq. 8 LIONEL SAWYER & COLLINS 300 So, Fourth Street, # 1700 9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendants Forum Shops, LLC Forum Developers Limited Partnership, Simon 10 Property Group Limited Partnership and Simon Property Group, Inc. 11 **HUNTERTON & ASSOCIATES** 12 C. Stanley Hunterton, Esq. 13 Pamela R. Lawson, Esq. 333 SO. Sixth Street 14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff Phase II Chin, LV 16 **GEWETER LAW OFFICES** 17 Harold Gewerter, Esq. GEWETER LAW OFFICES 18 5440 W. Sahara Avenue, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff Love & Money, LLC 20 **MORRIS PETERSON** 21 Steve Morris, Esq. 22 MORRIS PETERSON 900 Bank of America Plaza 23 300 So. Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Bound Shah John John

Attorneys for Defendants Caesars Palace Corp. and Caesars Palace Realty Corp.

26

24

25

27

28