1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	BOOMJ.COM, et al.,	
11	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 2:08-CV-00496-KJD-RJJ
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	GEORGE PURSGLOVE,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Presently before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Discharge Writ of Garnishment (#84).	
17	Though the time for doing so has passed, no response in opposition has been filed. Also before the	
18	Court is Defendant's Opposition (#95) to Motion for Judgment Against Garnishee for Failure to	
19	Answer Interrogatories.	
20	Having read and considered Defendant's Motion to Discharge, the Court must deny it without	
21	prejudice. Local Rule ("LR") 5-1, Proof of Service, requires all papers required or permitted to be	
22	served to attach, when filed, written proof of service. Here, no such proof of service has been	
23	attached. Though LR 5-4 allows electronic service of documents, Defendant is still required to	
24	attach proof of electronic service. The Notice of Electronic Filing associated with Defendant's	
25	Motion (#84) demonstrates that the party-in-interest, Hutchinson & Steffen, LLC, was not served by	
26		

the Court electronically or by mail. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Discharge is **DENIED** without prejudice in accordance with LR 5-1.

Defendant's Opposition (#95) references a Motion for Judgment Against Garnishee for
Failure to Answer Interrogatories supposedly filed by Hutchinson & Steffen, LLC on July 15, 2010.
However an examination of the Court's docket reveals that no motion was filed on July 15, 2010 and
that a Motion for Judgment has not been filed at all. Accordingly, to the extent the parties believe
such a motion is pending before the Court, it is **DENIED without prejudice.**

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 28th day of September 2010.

Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge