

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 PUBLISHERS BUSINESS SERVICES,)
 INC., a corporation; ED DANTUMA)
 ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation,)
 also dba PUBLISHERS DIRECT)
 SERVICES and PUBLISHERS)
 BUSINESS SERVICES; PERSIS)
 DANTUMA; EDWARD DANTUMA;)
 BRENDA DANTUMA CHANG;)
 DRIES DANTUMA; DIRK)
 DANTUMA; and JEFFREY)
 DANTUMA, individually and as)
 officers or managers of publishers)
 Business Services, Inc., or Ed Dantuma)
 Enterprises, Inc.,)
)
 Defendants.)

2:08-CV-00620-PMP-PAL

ORDER

The Court having read and considered Defendants’ Motion for Costs Pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Doc. #249), Plaintiff’s Response in Opposition thereto (Doc. #250), and Defendants’ Reply (Doc. #251), and finding that Defendants are not entitled as “prevailing parties,” and are not entitled to recover costs under the EAJA, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and good cause appearing,

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Costs Pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Doc. #249) is **DENIED**.

DATED: September 7, 2011.



PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge