1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	RICHARD CHUDACOFF, M.D.,	
10	Plaintiff,	208-863-ECR:-RJJ
11	vs.	
12	UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF) SOUTHERN NEVADA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	<u>O R D E R</u>
13	Defendant,	
14)	
15	This matter is before the undersigned Magistrate Judge on Plaintiff's Second Motion to	
16	Compel Discovery (#333).	
17	The Court having reviewed the Motion (#333), the Opposition (#341), and the Reply	
18	(#345), and good cause appearing therefore,	
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Second Motion to Compel Discovery (#333)	
20	is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.	
21	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Second Motion to Compel Discovery (#333)	
22	is granted to the extent that information requested is within the two categories authorized by the	
23	District Court Judge when discovery was reopened. See, Order (#302).	
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Second Motion to Compel Discovery (#333)	
25	is denied as to any other discovery sought that is outside the authorized categories.	
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defense counsel is advised that a supplement to Fed. R.	
27	Civ. P. (a)(1) disclosures is not a substitute for appropriate responses to written discovery	
28	responses.	

