1 Gregory H. Guillot ggmark@radix.net 2 Admitted Pro Hac Vice GREGORY H. GUILLOT, P.C. 3 13455 Noel Road, Suite 1000 Dallas, TX 75240 4 Telephone: (972) 774-4560 Facsimile: (214) 515-0411 5 John L. Krieger, (Nevada Bar No. 6023) JKrieger@LRLaw.com 6 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 7 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169 8 Telephone: (702) 949-8200 Facsimile: (702) 949-8389 9 George L. Paul GPaul@LRLaw.com 10 Admitted Pro Hac Vice Robert H. McKirgan, 11 RMckirgan@LRLaw.com 12 Admitted Pro Hac Vice LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 13 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004 14 Telephone: (602) 262-5326 Facsimile: (602) 734-3857 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 16 DONNÁ CORBELLO 17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 18 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 19 DONNA CORBELLO, an individual, Case No. 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL 20 Plaintiff. **PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE** TO FILE CERTAIN EXHIBITS **ATTACHED TO PLAINTIFF'S** 21 vs. MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION 22 THOMAS GAETANO DEVITO, an **OF THE NOVEMBER 12, 2010 ORDER** individual, *et al.*, (DOC. 345) UNDER SEAL 23 Defendants. 24 25 Plaintiff Donna Corbello, by her attorneys, and pursuant to the Stipulated Protective 26 Order (Doc. 94) entered into by the parties, and the Court's Protective Order Governing 27 Confidentiality of Documents entered on January 5, 2009 ("Order Regarding Sealing

28 Requirements") (Doc. 95), herewith requests leave to file certain documents under seal as

exhibits to her motion seeking reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's November 12, 2010
 Order (Doc. 345).

- 3 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES** 4 Pursuant to her obligations under the *Stipulated Protective Order* and *Order Regarding* 5 Sealing Requirements, Plaintiff seeks an order permitting her to file the following documents under seal, which were produced by Defendants Frankie Valli, Robert J. Gaudio, Marshall 6 7 Brickman, Eric S. Elice, DSHT, Inc., Dodger Theatricals, Inc., and/or JB Viva Vegas, LP (the 8 "New Defendants"), and/or by third parties GK Films, Inc., Charles Alexander, and Kevin 9 Kinsella (collectively, the "Third Parties"), and marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY 10 CONFIDENTIAL" thereby, and which she intends to attach as exhibits to her motion seeking reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's November 12, 2010 Order: 11 12 JB-0041413, JB-0041673, JB-0041752, JB-0045869, consisting of excerpts from 13 undated Jersey Boys scripts;
 - JB-0038554, GKF 000002 through GKF 000006, GKF 000012-000013 & GKF 000038-000040, consisting of excerpts from documents regarding possible *Jersey Boys* films;

14

15

16

- 17 JB-0027245, JB-0027257, JB-0027275, JB-0027280, JB-0023325, JB-0027448, 18 JB-0027467 through JB-0027468, JB-0027474, JB-0027505, JB-0027576, JB-19 0027590 through JB-0027591, JB-0027629, JB-0033051-0033053, JB-0033055-20 0033057, JB-0033059-0033067, JB-0033069 through JB-0033071, JB-0033073, JB-0033080, JB-0033082 through JB-0033083, JB-0033085, JB-0033087, and 21 22 JB-0033150 consisting of copies of selected email exchanges produced by 23 Defendants Brickman and Elice between Defendant Des McAnuff and various 24 other New Defendants dated from approximately 2004 through 2006;
- JB-0027244, JB-0027252, JB-0027265, JB-0027278 through JB-0027279, JB-0027284, JB-0027290 through JB-0027291, JB-0027293, JB-0027310, JB-0027314, JB-0027320 through JB-0027321, JB-0027326, JB-0027329, JB-0027336, JB-0027343, JB-0027358, JB-0027369, JB-0027373, JB-0027375, JB-0027375, JB-0027376, JB-0027376, JB-0027375, JB-0027376, JB-0027376, JB-0027375, JB-0027376, JB-00272766, JB-0027276, JB-0027276, JB-0027276, JB-0027276, JB-0027276,

2

1	0027382, JB-0027385, JB-0027403, JB-0027431, JB-0027439, JB-0027453, JB-
2	0027456, JB-0027459, JB-0027461, JB-0027469, JB-0027588, JB-0027622, JB-
3	0027625, JB-0027627, JB-0027647, and JB-0027683, consisting of copies of
4	selected email correspondence produced by Defendants Brickman and Elice
5	where the email was produced, but the corresponding and referenced attachment
6	was not produced;
7	• KINSELLA-007310, KINSELLA-007650, and KINSELLA-007654 consisting of
8	excerpts from the Jersey Boys Broadway Limited Partnership Agreement and the
9	JB Viva Vegas LP Agreement;
10	• JB-0027248, JB-0027250, JB-0027260 through JB-0027261, JB-0027264, JB-
11	0027277, JB-0027287, JB-0027306, JB-0027323, JB-0027410, JB-0027540, JB-
12	0027553, JB-0027266 through JB-0027268, and JB-0033185 consisting of copies
13	of selected email correspondence produced by Defendants Brickman and Elice
14	addressing the development of Jersey Boys;
15	• ALEX-000134 and JB-0054382 consisting of copies of selected email
16	correspondence produced by Mr. Charles Alexander (in response to a subpoena
17	duces tecum and subject to the Order Regarding Sealing Requirements) and
18	Defendant Elice;
19	• JB-0027301, JB-0055526 through JB-0055528, and JB-0069866 consisting of
20	copies of documents produced by Defendants Brickman and Elice regarding
21	issues related to the Jersey Boys script;
22	• JB-0027286, JB-0027292, JB-0027322, JB-0027408, JB-0027434, JB-0027464,
23	JB-0027556, JB-0027670, and JB-0027668 consisting of copies of email
24	correspondence produced by Defendant Brickman referring to the use of
25	MovieMagic® screenwriter software;
26	• JB-0027295, JB-0027334 through JB-0027335, and JB-0027602 consisting of
27	copies of email correspondence produced by Defendant Brickman related to
28	

Defendants Brickman's and Elice's knowledge of the Work in question and co-authorship of the same;
JB-0027395, JB-0027538, JB-0057092, JB-0059796, JB-0067603, and JB-0069802 consisting of selected documents produced by Defendants Brickman and Elice demonstrating that cut off of January 2007 would deprive Plaintiff of valuable information relevant to her claims; and

 ALEX-000012 through ALEX-000016 consisting of email correspondence produced by Mr. Alexander pursuant to subpoena and subject to the Order Regarding Sealing Requirements addressing his limited communication with Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Greg Guillot.

11 I. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12 There is an exception to the normal presumption of access to judicial records, for "sealed 13 discovery document[s] [attached] to a non-dispositive motion," such that "the usual presumption 14 of the public's right of access is rebutted." Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 15 1172, 1179-1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing Phillips v. General Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 16 (9th Cir. 2002)). The public has less of a need for access to court records attached only to nondispositive motions because those documents are often "unrelated, or only tangentially related, 17 18 to the underlying cause of action." Id. (quoting Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart, 467 U.S. 20, 33, 104 S. Ct. 2199, 81 L. Ed. 2d 17 (1984)). Moreover, "public policies that support the right of 19 20 access to dispositive motions, and related materials, do not apply with equal force to nondispositive materials." Id. (citing Phillips, 307 F.3d at 1213). Finally, when a district court 21 22 grants a protective order to seal documents during discovery, "it already has determined that 23 'good cause' exists to protect this information from being disclosed to the public by balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality." Id. Accordingly, "good cause" 24 25 exists for the filing of the foregoing documents under seal.

Pursuant to the *Stipulated Protective Order* herein, Plaintiff has an obligation to maintain
the confidentiality of any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY
CONFIDENTIAL" by either an opposing party or a third party who has produced documents

1	subject to a subpoena duces tecum, and the documents identified above were so marked by the
2	New Defendants and the Third Parties, who were served with the Stipulated Protective Order.
3	Accordingly, Plaintiff may not file the documents with the Court without obtaining an Order
4	and/or filing them under seal. Whereas, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is not a dispositive
5	motion, the filing of these documents under seal falls within the exception to the general
6	presumption of public access carved out by the courts of this Circuit for documents attached to
7	non-dispositive motions. Accordingly, leave to file the subject documents under seal should be
8	granted.
9	II. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
10	IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that her Motion for Leave to
11	File Certain Exhibits to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of the November 12, 2010 Order
12	(Doc. 345) Under Seal be granted.
13	Dated: November 29, 2010
14	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
15	
16	<u>/s/John L. Krieger</u> Gregory H. Guillot
17	George L. Paul John L. Krieger
18	Robert H. McKirgan Attorneys for Plaintiff, Donna Corbello
19	raoneys for Flandin, Donna Corbeno
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Turn la Nor
27	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DATED:November 30, 2010
28	
	5

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I, Gregory H. Guillot, hereby certify that on November
3	29, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document and this Certificate of Service with the
4	Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notifications of such filing to the
5	following:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	L. Bradley Hancock Christopher B. Payne Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1000 Louisiana Suite 1800 Houston, TX 77002 Booker T. Evans, Jr. Greenburg Traurig, LLP 2375 East Camelback Road Suite 700 Phoenix, AZ 85016 Alma Chao Greenburg Traurig, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
14 15 16	Suite 500 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Thomas Gaetano DeVito
 17 18 19 20 21 	Daniel M. Mayeda LEOPOLD, PETRICH & SMITH, P.C. 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3110 Los Angeles, California 90067-3274 David S. Korzenik MILLER KORZENIK SOMMERS LLP 488 Madison Avenue, Suite 1120 New York, New York 10022-5702
22 23 24	Samuel S. Lionel Todd Kennedy LIONEL, SAWYER & COLLINS 300 So. 4th Street #1700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
25 26	Attorneys for Defendants Frankie Valli, Robert J. Gaudio, Marshall Brickman, Eric S. Elice, Des McAnuff, DSHT, Inc., and Dodger Theatricals, Ltd.
27 28	/s/Gregory H. Guillot
	6