

1 Gregory H. Guillot  
 2 [ggmark@radix.net](mailto:ggmark@radix.net)  
 3 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
 4 GREGORY H. GUILLOT, P.C.  
 5 13455 Noel Road, Suite 1000  
 6 Dallas, TX 75240  
 7 Telephone: (972) 774-4560  
 8 Facsimile: (214) 515-0411

9 John L. Krieger, (Nevada Bar No. 6023)  
 10 [JKrieger@LRLaw.com](mailto:JKrieger@LRLaw.com)  
 11 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP  
 12 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
 13 Las Vegas, NV 89169  
 14 Telephone: (702) 949-8200  
 15 Facsimile: (702) 949-8389

16 George L. Paul  
 17 [GPaul@LRLaw.com](mailto:GPaul@LRLaw.com)  
 18 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
 19 Robert H. McKirgan,  
 20 [RMckirgan@LRLaw.com](mailto:RMckirgan@LRLaw.com)  
 21 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
 22 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP  
 23 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900  
 24 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
 Telephone: (602) 262-5326  
 Facsimile: (602) 734-3857

Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
 DONNA CORBELLO

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DONNA CORBELLO, an individual,  
 Plaintiff,  
 vs.  
 THOMAS GAETANO DEVITO, an  
 individual, *et al.*,  
 Defendants.

Case No. 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL

**PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR LEAVE  
 TO FILE CERTAIN EXHIBITS TO  
 PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO COMPEL  
 ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES  
 AND THE PRODUCTION OF  
 DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT, DES  
 MCANUFF (DOC. 362) UNDER SEAL**

Plaintiff Donna Corbello, by her attorneys, and pursuant to the *Stipulated Protective Order* (Doc. 94) entered into by the parties, and the Court’s *Protective Order Governing Confidentiality of Documents* entered on January 5, 2009 (“Order Regarding Sealing Requirements”) (Doc. 95), herewith requests leave to file certain documents under seal as

1 exhibits to *Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and the Production of*  
2 *Documents by Defendant, Des McAnuff* (Doc. 362) (“Motion to Compel”).

3 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

4 Pursuant to her obligations under the *Stipulated Protective Order* and *Order Regarding*  
5 *Sealing Requirements*, Plaintiff seeks an order permitting her to file the following documents  
6 under seal, which were produced by Defendants Frankie Valli, Robert J. Gaudio, Marshall  
7 Brickman, Eric S. Elice, DSHT, Inc., Dodger Theatricals, Inc., and/or JB Viva Vegas, LP (the  
8 “New Defendants”), and/or by third party Kevin Kinsella (“Kinsella”), and/or by third party  
9 Charles Alexander (“Alexander”), and marked “CONFIDENTIAL” and “HIGHLY  
10 CONFIDENTIAL” thereby, which she intends to attach as exhibits to her *Motion to Compel*, as  
11 to Defendant Des McAnuff (“McAnuff”):

- 12 • JB-0027245, JB-0027257, JB-0027275, JB-0027280, JB-0027325, JB-0027448,  
13 JB-0027467, JB-0027468, JB-0027474, JB-0027505, JB-0027576, JB-0027590,  
14 JB-0027591, JB-0027629, JB-0033051 to JB-0033053, JB-0033055 to JB-  
15 0033057, JB-0033059 to JB-0033067, JB-0033069 to JB-0033071, JB-0033073,  
16 JB-0033080, JB-0033082 to JB-0033083, JB-0033085, JB-0033087, and JB-  
17 0033150, consisting of e-mails to and from McAnuff regarding the creation of  
18 Jersey Boys.
- 19 • JB-002334 to JB-002335, JB-0027002, JB-0027295, JB-0054382, and JB-  
20 0062289, consisting of communications to and from New Defendants regarding  
21 the Work.
- 22 • Exhibit 4 are McAnuff’s relevant, written discovery responses.
- 23 • JB-00274377 to JB-0027492, consisting of the August 2005 Director’s  
24 Agreement.
- 25 • JB-0030446 to JB-0030452, consisting of correspondence regarding the  
26 McAnuff/Skunk director’s agreements.
- 27 • KINSELLA-005585, consisting of a communication regarding McAnuff’s  
28 investment interest, produced by a third party.

- ALEX-00134, consisting of an e-mail regarding the authorship of The Work, produced by a third party.

**I. ARGUMENT**

There is an exception to the normal presumption of access to judicial records, for “sealed discovery document[s] [attached] to a non-dispositive motion,” such that “the usual presumption of the public's right of access is rebutted.” *Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179-1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing *Phillips v. General Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002)). The public has less of a need for access to court records attached only to non-dispositive motions because those documents are often “unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action.” *Id.* (quoting *Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart*, 467 U.S. 20, 33, 104 S. Ct. 2199, 81 L. Ed. 2d 17 (1984)). Moreover, “public policies that support the right of access to dispositive motions, and related materials, do not apply with equal force to non-dispositive materials.” *Id.* (citing *Phillips*, 307 F.3d at 1213). Finally, when a district court grants a protective order to seal documents during discovery, “it already has determined that ‘good cause’ exists to protect this information from being disclosed to the public by balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality.” *Id.* Accordingly, “good cause” exists for the filing of the foregoing documents under seal.

Pursuant to the *Stipulated Protective Order* herein, Plaintiff has an obligation to maintain the confidentiality of any document marked “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” by an opposing party, and the documents identified above were so marked by the New Defendants, Kinsella, and Alexander. Accordingly, Plaintiff may not file the documents with the Court without obtaining an Order and/or filing them under seal. Whereas, Plaintiff’s *Motion to Compel* is not a dispositive motion, the filing of these documents under seal falls within the exception to the general presumption of public access carved out by the courts of this Circuit for documents attached to non-dispositive motions. Accordingly, leave to file the subject documents under seal should be granted.

///

28 ///

1 **II. CONCLUSION**

2 IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that her present motion be  
3 granted.

4 Dated: December 21, 2010

5 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

6  
7 /s/Gregory H. Guillot  
8 Gregory H. Guillot  
9 George L. Paul  
10 John L. Krieger  
11 Robert H. McKirgan  
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Donna Corbello

13  
14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED:

16  
17   
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
19 DATED: December 22, 2010

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I, Gregory H. Guillot, hereby certify that on December  
3 21, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document and this *Certificate of Service* with the  
4 Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notifications of such filing to the  
5 following:

6 L. Bradley Hancock  
7 Christopher B. Payne  
8 Greenberg Traurig, LLP  
9 1000 Louisiana  
10 Suite 1800  
11 Houston, TX 77002

12 Booker T. Evans, Jr.  
13 Greenburg Traurig, LLP  
14 2375 East Camelback Road  
15 Suite 700  
16 Phoenix, AZ 85016

17 Alma Chao  
18 Greenburg Traurig, LLP  
19 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway  
20 Suite 500 North  
21 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

22 *Attorneys for Thomas Gaetano DeVito*

23 Daniel M. Mayeda  
24 LEOPOLD, PETRICH & SMITH, P.C.  
25 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3110  
26 Los Angeles, California 90067-3274

27 David S. Korzenik  
28 MILLER KORZENIK SOMMERS LLP  
488 Madison Avenue, Suite 1120  
New York, New York 10022-5702

Samuel S. Lionel  
Todd Kennedy  
LIONEL, SAWYER & COLLINS  
300 So. 4th Street #1700  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

*Attorneys for Defendants Frankie Valli, Robert J. Gaudio, Marshall Brickman, Eric S. Elice,  
Des McAnuff, DSHT, Inc., and Dodger Theatricals, Ltd.*

27  
28 /s/Gregory H. Guillot