1 Orders is an abusive litigation practice that has interfered with the court's ability to hear this case, delayed litigation, disrupted the court's timely management of its docket, wasted judicial resources, and 2 3 threatened the integrity of the court's orders and the orderly administration of justice. Sanctions less 4 drastic than dismissal are unavailable because Plaintiffs have wilfully refused to comply with multiple 5 court Orders and the Local Rules of Practice. 6

Accordingly,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that Plaintiffs' Complaint be DISMISSED for failure to prosecute unless Plaintiffs either (a) file a statement stating they will proceed pro se on or before August 17, 2009; or (b) retain counsel who shall file a notice of appearance in accordance with the Local Rules on or before August 17, 2009.

Dated this 30th day of July, 2009.

12

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

22

27

19

20

21

23

24

25 26

28

NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve specific written objections together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within ten (10) days of the date of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.