1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	* * *
7	FSP STALLION 1, LLC, a Nevada)Limited liability company, et al.,)
8	Limited liability company, et al.,) 2:08-CV-1155-PMP-RJJ
9	Plaintiff,
10	VS.
11	MICHAEL F. LUCE, an individual,
12	et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.
15	}
16	On September 30, 2010, he Honorable Peggy A. Leen, United
17	States Magistrate Judge entered an Order (Doc. #394) granting Plaintiff's Motion to
18	Compel (Doc. #351), denying Walters' Defendants Countermotion to Exclude
19	(Doc.'s #354, #355), granting the Walters Defendants' Request for Leave to File a
20	Supplement (Doc. #364), and directing that the parties would have until October 15,
21	2010 to meet and confer to schedule the depositions suspended while the foregoing
22	discovery dispute was under submission, and to submit any proposal for completing
23	discovery in this case.
24	On October 15, 2010, Plaintiffs' filed a Motion to Set Interim Status
25	Conference (Doc. #399). On November 15, 2010, the Court conducted a Status
26	Conference with counsel at which the Court considered the arguments of

counsel concerning the scheduling of this case for trial. It became apparent from the arguments of counsel that objections taken to the rulings of Magistrate Judge Leen in her Order of September 30, 2010, (Doc. #394) would have to be resolved to enable the parties to move forward with remaining discovery and to permit the case to be scheduled for trial.

The Court has now read and considered the fully briefed Walters Defendants' Objections to the Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel and Denying the Countermotion to Exclude the DCS Documents (Doc. #395), the Luce Parties' Objections to Magistrate Judge Leen's Order granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel and Denying the Countermotion to Exclude the DCS Documents (Doc. #396), and Defendant Direct Capital Securities, Inc.'s Objections to Magistrate Judge's Order granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel and Denying Defendants' Countermotion to Exclude the DSC Documents (Doc. #397).

The Court finds Magistrate Judge Leen's Findings and Order (Doc. #394) are neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law, and should be affirmed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants Objections (Doc.'s #395, #396, #397) to Magistrate Judge Leen's Order (Doc. #394) are overruled, and Magistrate Judge Leen's Order (Doc. #394) is hereby affirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall forthwith comply with Magistrate Judge Leen's Order (Doc. #394) by meeting and conferring on or before December 3, 2010 to schedule the depositions suspended while the discovery dispute was under submission, and to submit any proposal for completing discovery in this case. Disputes regarding any further discovery in this case will be addressed by Magistrate Judge Leen as she deems appropriate.

- 25 ///
- 26 ///

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is hereby set for trial
2	July 26, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., and Calendar Call is set for July 20, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall file a joint pretrial
4	order no later than June 14, 2011.
5	
6	DATED: November 18, 2010.
7	Phip m. On
8	PHILIP M. PRO
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	3