

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

EDWIN K. SLAUGHTER, REBECCA FLINN,  
and MEL and CAROL HEALEY, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

UPONOR, INC., a Minnesota corporation;  
UPONOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., a  
Minnesota corporation; RCR PLUMBING AND  
MECHANICAL, INC., a California corporation;  
INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR  
CONDITIONING, LLC, a Nevada limited  
liability company; UNITED PLUMBING, LLC,  
a Nevada limited liability company;  
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES, INC., a Virginia  
corporation; HUGHES WATER & SEWER LP,  
a Florida limited partnership and successor by  
merger to STANDARD WHOLESALE  
SUPPLY COMPANY, a dissolved Nevada  
corporation; HD SUPPLY CONSTRUCTION  
SUPPLY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Florida  
limited partnership; DOES 1-30, ROE  
CORPORATIONS ~~1-XXX~~,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:08-CV-01223-RCJ-GWF

**ORDER ON DEFENDANT INTERSTATE  
PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING,  
LLC'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS'  
FEES AND COSTS**

This case is a class action lawsuit against Defendants, who are alleged to have manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or installed allegedly defective plumbing components, which Plaintiffs allege caused harm, or are likely to cause harm in the future, to their residences located in Clark County, Nevada. The case has been dismissed with prejudice. Before the Court is Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs. ¶ 354. As stated herein, the Motion is Granted, in part.

Slaughter et al v. Uponor, Inc. et al

1 The Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
2 twenty percent (20%) of its requested attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs, including experts' fees  
3 and travel expenses, in the total amount of **\$53,831.25**.

4 The Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
5 taxable costs in the amount of **\$15,469.00**.

6 As such, the total award of fees and costs to Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR  
7 CONDITIONING, LLC is **\$69,300.25**.

8 The Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
9 post-judgment interest at the federal judgment rate. The weekly average of the 1-year constant  
10 maturity Treasury yield for the week before January 27, 2010 is .31%. The daily rate for post-  
11 judgment interest is .00000849315 (\$.0031/365). Post-judgment interest will accumulate at \$.58/day  
12 until satisfied (.00000849315 \* \$69,300.25).

13 **I. FINDINGS OF FACT**

14 1. On July 28, 2008, Plaintiffs Edwin K. Slaughter, Rebecca Flinn, Mel Healey and  
15 Carol Healey filed the present action, on behalf of themselves and all others similar situated, in the  
16 Eighth Judicial District Court in Clark County, Nevada. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Uponor,  
17 Inc., RCR Plumbing & Mechanical, Inc., Interstate Plumbing & Air Conditioning, LLC, United  
18 Plumbing, LLC, Ferguson Enterprises, Inc., and Hughes Water and Sewer LP are engaged in the  
19 business of designing, developing, manufacturing, distributing, marketing, selling, and installing the  
20 Wirsbo PEX plumbing system, including Wirsbo brass fittings, as part of the potable water supply  
21 systems of residential dwellings in Clark County, Nevada.

22 2. Plaintiffs allege that "yellow brass" Wirsbo fittings installed as part of Wirsbo  
23 plumbing systems in residential dwellings in Clark County, Nevada, are defective due to a process  
24 referred to as dezincification. Plaintiffs sued the Defendants, including INTERSTATE PLUMBING  
25 & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC, under the following theories of liability: (1) product liability; (2)  
26 strict liability; (3) breach of express warranty; (4) breach of implied warranty; (5) breach of warranty  
27 of merchantability; and (6) negligence. Plaintiffs sought general and special damages in excess of  
28 \$10,000,000.00.

1           3.       On September 15, 2008, Defendant Uponor, Inc. removed the lawsuit from Clark  
2 County District Court to Federal Court pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act (“CAFA”). [# 1]

3           4.       On April 20, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Class Certification. [# 110] The  
4 Court thereafter permitted a period of discovery, and through various extensions, set a hearing for  
5 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification on January 25, 2010.

6           5.       A substantial part of the discovery conducted by Defendants to date, including  
7 Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC, has been to defend against  
8 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification.

9           6.       Throughout the discovery period, Defendants, including Defendant INTERSTATE  
10 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC, attended and participated in numerous depositions,  
11 including an estimated nine (9) out of state depositions, reviewed and investigated thousands of pages  
12 of documentation disclosed by the Plaintiffs, retained experts, attended destructive testing and/or  
13 visual inspections of at least five (5) homes, and attended metallurgical testing of plumbing  
14 components selected by Plaintiffs at Seal Laboratories in El Segundo, California. This discovery was  
15 all primarily geared towards defeating Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification.

16           7.       Since the initiation of this case, the Defendants have engaged in numerous motion  
17 filings and arguments primarily relating to discovery and concerns of class certification. These  
18 Motions included a Motion for Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Restraining Order filed by  
19 Defendants Ferguson Enterprises, Inc. and United Plumbing, LLC [# 209], which Defendant  
20 INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC joined, and Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave  
21 to File a Second Amended Complaint [# 219], which the Defendants, including INTERSTATE  
22 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC, opposed.

23           8.       On December 3, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Withdrawal of Motion for Class  
24 Certification. [# 278] Plaintiffs did not seek leave of the Court to file an amended complaint to  
25 withdraw the class allegations from their pleadings.

26           9.       On December 7, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss this litigation  
27 in its entirety. [# 285] On January 27, 2010, this Court entered an Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion  
28 for Voluntary Dismissal, in part, and dismissed this lawsuit with prejudice, including all allegations in

1 the Complaint. [# 349] The Court expressly retained jurisdiction to consider Motions for Attorneys'  
2 Fees and Costs filed by the Defendants, including INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR  
3 CONDITIONING, LLC.

4 10. INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC filed its Motion for  
5 Attorneys' Fees and Costs on February 10, 2010. [# 354] On March 11, 2009, INTERSTATE  
6 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC filed its Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to its Motion for  
7 Attorneys' Fees and Costs, in which INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
8 supplemented its Motion with additional fees and costs incurred since filing its original Motion, and  
9 included an Affidavit from Eileen Mulligan Marks, Esq., in compliance with LR 54-16. [# 369]

10 11. INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC requested an award of  
11 attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs, including experts' fees and travel costs for attending numerous  
12 out of state depositions noticed by Plaintiffs, in the amount of **\$269,156.26**. INTERSTATE  
13 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC also requested taxable costs in the amount of  
14 **\$17,178.44**. In all, INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC requested its  
15 attorneys' fees, non-taxable costs, and taxable costs in the total amount of **\$286,334.70**.

16 12. At the hearing on May 10, 2010, this Court awarded INTERSTATE PLUMBING &  
17 AIR CONDITIONING, LLC 20% of its attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs, and ordered the re-  
18 taxing of costs to confirm that no amount of attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs were included in  
19 the original taxation of costs.

20 13. On May 25, 2010, INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC filed  
21 its amended bill of costs confirming its taxable costs in the total amount of \$17,178.44 (#422) and  
22 further confirming that those costs do not include any attorneys' time or any other matter not properly  
23 taxable under LR 54-1 through LR 54-15 and 28 U.S.C. § 1920-1924.

24 14. On June 4, 2010, Plaintiffs filed an Objection and a Motion to Re-Tax Costs (#432).  
25 INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC filed a Reply to Plaintiffs' Objection and  
26 Motion to Re-Tax on June 21, 2010 (#438), and Plaintiffs filed a Reply on June 28, 2010 (#446). A  
27 Hearing was held on August 13, 2010 and the Court issued a formal Order on September 20, 2010 re-

28 ///

1 taxing INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC's costs in the amount of  
2 \$15,469.00. (#453)

3 **II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

4 1. The Court expressly retained jurisdiction to rule upon the Defendants' Motions for  
5 Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

6 2. Local Rule 54-16 outlines the following factors to consider when awarding attorneys'  
7 fees: (1) the results obtained and the amount involved; (2) the novelty and difficulty of the questions  
8 involved; (3) the skill requisite to perform the legal services properly; (4) the preclusion of other  
9 employment by the attorney due to the acceptance of the case; (5) whether the fee is fixed or  
10 contingent; (6) the time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances; (7) the experience,  
11 reputation, and ability of the attorneys; (8) the undesirability of the case, if any; (9) the nature and  
12 length of the professional relationship with the client; and (10) awards in similar cases.

13 3. INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC submitted Affidavits of  
14 Eileen Mulligan Marks, Esq. with its Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Reply to Plaintiffs'  
15 Opposition to its Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, which met the requirements of Local Rule  
16 54-16.

17 4. In Affidavits submitted with INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING,  
18 LLC's Moving and Reply Papers, counsel for INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING,  
19 LLC attests that the law firm initially billed for its work at a rate of \$140.00 per hour for partner time,  
20 \$123.00 per hour for associate time, and \$80.00 per hour for paralegal time. During the course of this  
21 litigation, the fee was increased to \$160.00 per hour for partner time and \$135.00 per hour for  
22 associate time. Counsel for INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC also attests  
23 to the number of hours the law firm spent on INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING,  
24 LLC's defense in this action.

25 5. Plaintiffs have had the opportunity to review and respond to the evidence  
26 INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC submitted in support of its Motion for  
27 Attorneys' Fees and Costs, including the Affidavits of counsel and invoices for taxable and non-

28

1 taxable costs incurred. Plaintiffs did not object to the hourly billing rate of counsel, nor the number of  
2 hours billed in defending INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC.

3         6.       The Court generally finds that the bulk of the attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs  
4 incurred by the Defendants, including INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC,  
5 will have value in subsequent proceedings and may not be the subject of an attorneys' fees award in  
6 this litigation.

7         7.       An award of some portion of the requested attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs,  
8 including experts' fees and travel expenses for attending numerous out of state depositions noticed by  
9 Plaintiffs, is reasonable and appropriate in this matter because of the risk that the Defendants,  
10 including INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC, will incur duplicative  
11 attorneys' fees in defending identical issues in future proceedings, including, but not limited to, a  
12 potential request for class certification. More importantly, the attorneys' fees were incurred as a result  
13 of Plaintiffs' own decision to seek class certification, only to later in the case withdraw their Motion  
14 for Class Certification and file a Motion to Dismiss.

15         8.       The Court finds that requesting a delineation of fees in line-by line, date-by-date  
16 format, and/or requiring INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC to produce  
17 redacted billing invoices, would require INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
18 to unnecessarily incur more attorneys' fees.

19         9.       As a result of the fact that several Defendants are requesting attorneys' fees and costs,  
20 the Court finds that an in-gross ruling awarding attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs is appropriate  
21 and finds that an award of twenty percent (20%) of the attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs incurred  
22 by each of the Moving Defendants, including INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING,  
23 LLC, is a reasonable award of attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs, including experts' fees and travel  
24 expenses to attend the numerous depositions in Minneapolis, Minnesota of Defendant Uponor, Inc.'s  
25 personnel noticed by the Plaintiffs.

26         10.       The Moving Defendants have requested attorneys' fees, non-taxable costs, and  
27 taxable costs in a collective amount of slightly more than \$1 million. An award of one-fifth (1/5), or  
28 twenty percent (20%), of attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs incurred by INTERSTATE

1 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC, and each of the other Moving Defendants, is reasonable  
2 under the circumstances and findings as set forth above, as reducing the fees requested by each such  
3 Defendant provides for a reasonable collective fee award of approximately \$200,000. This award of  
4 attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs is specifically entered against the named Plaintiffs, and not  
5 counsel for the Plaintiffs.

6 11. The Court finds that it is reasonable and appropriate to award INTERSTATE  
7 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC taxable costs in the amount of \$15,469.00.

8 12. INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC is not entitled to pre-  
9 judgment interest. However, INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC is entitled  
10 to post-judgment interest from the date of entry of the final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice,  
11 January 27, 2010, until the award is satisfied in full.

12 **III. ORDER**

13 The Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
14 twenty percent (20%) of its requested attorneys' fees and non-taxable costs, including experts' fees, in  
15 the amount of **\$53,831.25**.


16 The Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
17 taxable costs in the amount of **\$15,469.00**.

18 As such, the Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING,  
19 LLC its attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of **\$69,300.25**.

20 ///  
21 ///  
22 ///  
23 ///  
24 ///  
25 ///  
26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///


1 The Court awards Defendant INTERSTATE PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC  
2 post-judgment interest at the federal judgment rate. The weekly average of the 1-year constant  
3 maturity Treasury yield for the week before January 27, 2010 is .31%. The daily rate for post-  
4 judgment interest is .00000849315 (\$.0031/365). Post-judgment interest will accumulate at \$.58/day  
5 until satisfied (.00000849315 \* \$69,300.25).

6  
7 Dated November 29th, 2010

  
Honorable Robert C. Jones  
United States District Court

8  
9  
10  
11 Respectfully Submitted:

12 THE MARKS LAW GROUP, LLP

13  
14 By:   
EILEEN MURTIGAN MARKS, ESQ. / 005708  
15 SHARON A. PARKER, ESQ. / 008274  
16 1120 Town Center Drive, Suite 200  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144  
17 Attorneys for Defendant INTERSTATE  
18 PLUMBING & AIR CONDITIONING, LLC

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28