

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CONNIE WILLIAMS, individually,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a Nevada  
municipality; DOES 1-10, inclusive; ROE  
CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,  
Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:08-cv-01344-LRH-GWF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS'  
TIME FOR FILING REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION  
(First Request)**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties through their respective  
counsel that the time for filing the City's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to City's Motion for

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

1 Summary Judgment be extended from November 12, 2009, to November 30, 2009.

2 DATED this 13th day of November, 2009.

3 BRADFORD R. JERBIC  
4 City Attorney

KIRK T. KENNEDY, ESQ.

5 By: /s/ Philip R. Byrnes  
6 PHILIP R. BYRNES  
7 Deputy City Attorney  
8 Nevada Bar No. 166  
9 400 Stewart Avenue, Ninth Floor  
10 Las Vegas, NV 89101  
11 Attorneys for CITY OF LAS VEGAS

By: /s/ Kirk T. Kennedy, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 5032  
815 South Casino Center Boulevard  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
Attorney for Plaintiff

12 IT IS SO ORDERED:

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  


LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: November 19, 2009