

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

LAUSTEVEION DELANO JOHNSON,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,


*Respondents.*

2:08-cv-01363-JCM-RJJ

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that all state court record exhibits filed herein – by either petitioner or respondents – shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment, in the same manner as in No. 3:06-cv-00087-ECR-VPC, ## 25-71. The purpose of this provision is so that the court and any reviewing court thereafter will be able to quickly determine from the face of the electronic docket sheet which exhibits are filed in which attachments. In short, counsel, whether for respondents or petitioner, shall not file exhibits in a manner that requires this court or a reviewing court to go "fishing" through multiple unmarked attachments on the electronic docket sheet to find specific exhibits. **Counsel additionally shall send a hard copy of all exhibits filed -- for this particular case -- to the Las Vegas clerk's office.**

DATED: March 15, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES C. MAHAN  
United States District Judge