Doc. 48 Klein v. Kim et al 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 8 9 10 PAUL SCOTT KLEIN, 11 Case No. 2:08-cv-1475-LDG (LRL) Plaintiff, 12 ORDER 13 SEAN KIM, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Previously, the Magistrate Judge denied (#44) plaintiff Paul Klein's Motion for Order 17 for U.S. Marshals to Serve Defendants Cheryl Burson, Eric Burson and Roy Plumlee and 18 his Motion to Extend 120-Day Service Requirement. The Magistrate Judge further 19 recommended that the Court grant the Motion to Dismiss (#28) and to dismiss Cheryl 20 Burson, Eric Burson and Roy Plumlee as they had not been timely served. 21 Klein has filed an objection (#45) to the recommendation, and the served 22 defendants have filed a response (#46). He also moved to strike the motion to dismiss 23 (#32).24 Despite untimely serving Plumlee, and being denied an extension of time to serve 25 him, Klein has also moved for entry of a clerk's default (#33) as to Plumlee. 26

The Magistrate Judge's recommendation to dismiss the three unserved defendants arises from the fact that Klein failed to timely serve them within 120 days, and that he lacked good cause for this failure. The court agrees. Accordingly,

THE COURT **ORDERS** that the Motion to Dismiss (#28) is GRANTED;

THE COURT FURTHER **ORDERS** that the Paul Klein's Motion to Strike (#32) the Motion to Dismiss is DENIED;

THE COURT FURTHER **ORDERS** that Paul Klein's Motion for Entry of Clerk's Default (#33) as to Roy Plumlee is DENIED as moot.

THE COURT FURTHER **ORDERS** that Paul Scott Klein's Complaint is DISMISSED as to defendants Cheryl Burson, Eric Burson, and Roy Plumlee.

DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of July, 2009.

Lloyd D. George United States District Judge