

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARTINEZ AYTCH,
Plaintiff,
vs.
CYNTHIA SABLICA, *et al.*,
Defendants.

2:08-cv-01773-RLH-VCF

ORDER

11 Before the court is plaintiff's Motion for Transcripts at State Expense (#139). Defendants filed a
12 Response (#140).

13 Plaintiff's motion cites no authority to support his request. In response, defendants correctly cite
14 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) as the law governing the circumstances in which the court may authorize the
15 payments of fees, by the United States, for furnishing transcripts to party appealing *in forma pauperis*.

16
17 In pertinent part, 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) provides:

18 Fees for transcripts furnished in other proceedings to persons permitted to appeal
19 in forma pauperis shall also be paid by the United States if the trial judge or a
20 circuit judge certifies that the appeal is not frivolous (but presents a substantial
21 question).

22
23 Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal (#133) provides no insight regarding the basis of his appeal. It
24 merely states that he appeals "from the Jury Verdict." In his Motion for Transcripts (#139), Plaintiff
25


1 does not provide any information on which the court can rely in making a determination that his appeal
2 “is not frivolous (but presents a substantial question).”

3 The grounds on which he seeks to overturn the Jury Verdict do not appear in the record.
4 Therefore, the court cannot certify that plaintiff’s appeal is not frivolous.

5 Accordingly,

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff’s Motion for Transcripts at State Expense (#139) is
7 DENIED.

8 Dated this 15th day of January, 2014.



9 CAM FERENBACH
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25