1

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VOLVO CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT RENTS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NRL RENTALS, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

2:09-CV-32 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is the matter of *Volvo Construction Rents, Inc. et al v. NRL Texas Rentals, LLC et al*, case number 2:09-cv-00032-JCM-VCF.

A bench trial was held from December 3, 2012, through December 6, 2012. The court found in favor of defendants. The court instructed the parties to file a proposed order consistent with the court's findings as stated on the record.

On January 4, 2013, defendants submitted a proposed order with findings of fact and conclusions of law. (Doc. # 647). The same day, plaintiffs filed objections to the proposed order. (Doc. # 648). On January 8, 2013, plaintiffs filed an errata to their objections. (Doc. # 654). Plaintiffs' objections are general in nature. (See doc. # 647). Plaintiffs' objections do not state the specific reasons or specific evidence elicited during trial that forms the basis of their objections. (See id.). For example, the majority of plaintiffs' factual objections state "there was no evidence in support of these findings." (See id.). Additionally, the majority of plaintiffs' objections to the

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

conclusions of law simply state "there was no evidence in support of these conclusions." (See id.). On January 18, 2013, defendants filed a reply in support of their proposed order. (Doc. # 655). The reply cites provides the evidence (or lack of evidence) from trial to support each factual finding and conclusion of law objected to by plaintiffs. The court finds that the order accurately comports with its ruling on the record. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiffs' objection to the proposed order (doc. # 648) be, and the same hereby, is DENIED. DATED January 31, 2013. Dellu C. Mahan UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE