1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 8 JUAN CARLOS GARCIA, Plaintiff, 9 Case No. 2:09-cv-00080-RCJ-GWF FIN<u>DINGS AND</u> 10 VS. **RECOMMENDATIONS** 11 GEORGE ASSAD, 12 Defendant. 13 On January 8, 2009, Plaintiff filed his Motion for Protective Order (#1). On January 16, 2009, 14 15 the Court issued Order (#2), which denied Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order (#1) without prejudice, and directed Plaintiff to submit a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis by 16 17 February 15, 2009. Plaintiff was advised that failure to comply with Order (#2) would result in the 18 recommendation that his action be dismissed. To date, Plaintiff has not complied with Court Order 19 (#2) within the allotted time period, and the time allowed has expired. Accordingly, 20 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action should be dismissed without prejudice 21 based on Plaintiff's failure to submit a completed application and affidavit in support of a request to 22 proceed in forma pauperis. 23 **NOTICE** Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in 24 25 writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within ten (10) days. The Supreme Court has held that the 26 courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) 27 failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the 28

Garcia v. Assad

Doc. 3

objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). DATED this 20th day of February, 2009. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE