-PAL Clark v. Guerrero Doc. 108 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 MICHAEL CLARK, Case No. 2:09-cv-00141-JCM-PAL 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 VS. (Mtn for Order - Dkt. #106) 10 ADRIAN GUERRERO, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 This matter is before the court on Defendant Adrian Guerrero's Motion for Order Due to 14 Plaintiff's Failure to Comply with the Local Rules (Dkt. #106). Defendant Guerrero requests the court 15 dismiss this case because Plaintiff has not complied with Local Special Rule 2-2. As support for this request, Defendant has attached an envelope to the Motion showing that his mail to Plaintiff was 16 17 returned, and Plaintiff no longer lives at the address on file with the court. One piece of returned mail does not show Plaintiff is non-compliant with LSR 2-2, especially where no court orders have been 18 19 returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Post Office. 20 Accordingly, 21 IT IS ORDERED: 1. Defendant Guerrero's Motion for Order (Dkt. #106) is DENIED. 22 2. Defendant Guerrero shall answer Plaintiff's Amended Complaint by the deadline 23 24 established in the court's previous Order (Dkt. #105). 3. 25 Plaintiff is reminded of his obligation under LSR 2-2 to promptly notify the court and opposing counsel of any change in address. Failure to comply with LSR 2-2 may result 26 27 28

in a recommendation that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Dkt. #88) be dismissed with prejudice.

Dated this 27th of September, 2012.

PEGGY A LEEN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE