

1	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a), leave to amend "shall be freely given
2	when justice so requires." Absent a showing of an "apparent reason" such as undue delay, bad faith,
3	dilatory motive, prejudice to the defendants, futility of the amendments, or repeated failure to cure
4	deficiencies in the complaint by prior amendment, it is an abuse of discretion for a district court to
5	refuse to grant leave to amend a complaint. Moore v. Kayport Package Express, Inc., 885 F.2d 531,
6	538 (9th Cir. 1989).
7	The local rules of federal practice in the District of Nevada require that the plaintiff submit
8	a proposed amended complaint along with the motion to amend. LR 15-1(a). Finally, Local Rule
9	7-2(d) provides that the "failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any
10	motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion." LR 7-2(d).
11	Accordingly,
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that pro se plaintiff Steven
13	Matza's motion for leave to amend (doc. #40) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff file his first amended complaint with the clerk of
15	court on or before March 30, 2012. Failure to file the first amended complaint on or before this
16	deadline may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the above-captioned case.
17	DATED March 23, 2012.
18	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ian Judge	- 2 -