Incorp Services, Ind., v. Legalzoom.com, Inc.,

Doc 30

1	stipulate that a responsive pleading or motion relating to the FAC may be filed up to and
2	including May 13, 2009.
3	This is the second extension sought by LegalZoom relating to the FAC. The first
4	stipulation, extending the time to respond to May 6, 2009, was approved by order of the
5	Court on April 10, 2009.
6	The extension is sought because the parties plan to engage in substantive
7	discussions about the case on May 4, 2009. As a result of those discussions, the issues in
8	this action may change. In order to permit those discussions to proceed without either
9	party incurring potentially unnecessary fees and expenses, LegalZoom and InCorp
10	stipulate that it would be in the interests of the parties and judicial economy for
11	LegalZoom to have an extension until and including May 13, 2009 to answer, move or
12	otherwise respond to the FAC.
13	DATED: April 30, 2009
14	
15	BOSTWICK & JASSY LLP
16	Dry /a/ Comy I. Dogtwiele
17	By /s/ Gary L. Bostwick GARY L. BOSTWICK
18	Attorneys for Defendant LegalZoom.com, Inc.
19	DATED: April 30, 2009 KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP
20	KKONENDERGER BURGOTNE, LLI
21	
22	By /s/ Karl S. Kronenberger
23	KARL S. KRONENBERGER Attorneys for Plaintiff InCorp Services, Inc.
24	DATED: May 1, 2009 IT IS SO ORDERED:
25	
26	Lover L. Hant
27	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

<u>-2-</u>