

1 **BOSTWICK & JASSY LLP**
2 Gary L. Bostwick (admitted *pro hac vice*)
3 gbstwick@bostwickjassy.com
4 Jean-Paul Jassy (admitted *pro hac vice*)
5 jpjassy@bostwickjassy.com
6 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 400
7 Los Angeles, California 90025
8 Tel: 310-979-6059
9 Fax: 310-314-8401

10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 LegalZoom.com, Inc.

12 **KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP**
13 Karl S. Kronenberger (admitted *pro hac vice*)
14 150 Post Street, Suite 520
15 San Francisco, CA 94108
16 Telephone: (415) 955-1155
17 Facsimile: (415) 955-1158
18 karl@KBInternetLaw.com

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff
20 InCorp Services, Inc.

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

22 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

23 INCORP SERVICES, INC., a Nevada
24 corporation,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 LEGALZOOM.COM, INC., a Delaware
28 corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:09-CV-00273-RJH-(LRL)

THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE RESPONSE TO FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT

(Third Request)

Plaintiff Incorp Services, Inc. (“Incorp”) filed a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) on April 6, 2009. Incorp and Defendant LegalZoom.com, Inc. (“LegalZoom”) hereby stipulate that a responsive pleading or motion relating to the FAC may be filed up to and including May 27, 2009.

1 This is the third extension sought by LegalZoom relating to the FAC. The first
2 stipulation, extending the time to respond to May 6, 2009, was approved by order of the
3 Court on April 10, 2009. The second stipulation, extending the time to respond to May 13,
4 2009, was approved by order of the Court on May 4, 2009.

5 This extension is sought because, since the last extension, the parties have made
6 significant progress in attempting to resolve the issues raised in this action, and counsel for
7 the parties represent to the Court that they are highly optimistic that a final resolution will
8 be achieved before May 27, 2009. In order to permit the parties' discussions to proceed
9 without either party incurring potentially unnecessary fees and expenses, LegalZoom and
10 InCorp stipulate that it would be in the interests of the parties and judicial economy for
11 LegalZoom to have an extension until and including May 27, 2009 to answer, move or
12 otherwise respond to the FAC.

13 DATED: May 11, 2009

14 **BOSTWICK & JASSY LLP**

15
16
17 By /s/ Jean-Paul Jassy

18 JEAN-PAUL JASSY
19 Attorneys for Defendant LegalZoom.com, Inc.

20 DATED: May 11, 2009

21 **KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP**

22 By /s/ Karl S. Kronenberger

23 KARL S. KRONENBERGER
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff InCorp Services, Inc.

25 DATED: _____

IT IS SO ORDERED:

26 _____
27 JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT