

1 **BOSTWICK & JASSY LLP**
 Gary L. Bostwick (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 2 gbstwick@bostwickjassy.com
 Jean-Paul Jassy (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 3 jpjassy@bostwickjassy.com
 4 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 400
 Los Angeles, California 90025
 5 Tel: 310-979-6059
 Fax: 310-314-8401
 6

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 LegalZoom.com, Inc.

8 **KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP**
 9 Karl S. Kronenberger (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 10 150 Post Street, Suite 520
 San Francisco, CA 94108
 11 Telephone: (415) 955-1155
 Facsimile: (415) 955-1158
 12 karl@KBInternetLaw.com

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 14 InCorp Services, Inc.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

17 INCORP SERVICES, INC., a Nevada
 18 corporation,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 LEGALZOOM.COM, INC., a Delaware
 22 corporation,

23 Defendant.

Case No. 2:09-CV-00273-RJH-(LRL)

THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
 FILE RESPONSE TO FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT

(Third Request)

24
 25 Plaintiff Incorp Services, Inc. (“Incorp”) filed a First Amended Complaint (“FAC”)
 26 on April 6, 2009. Incorp and Defendant LegalZoom.com, Inc. (“LegalZoom”) hereby
 27 stipulate that a responsive pleading or motion relating to the FAC may be filed up to and
 28 including May 27, 2009.

1 This is the third extension sought by LegalZoom relating to the FAC. The first
2 stipulation, extending the time to respond to May 6, 2009, was approved by order of the
3 Court on April 10, 2009. The second stipulation, extending the time to respond to May 13,
4 2009, was approved by order of the Court on May 4, 2009.

5 This extension is sought because, since the last extension, the parties have made
6 significant progress in attempting to resolve the issues raised in this action, and counsel for
7 the parties represent to the Court that they are highly optimistic that a final resolution will
8 be achieved before May 27, 2009. In order to permit the parties' discussions to proceed
9 without either party incurring potentially unnecessary fees and expenses, LegalZoom and
10 InCorp stipulate that it would be in the interests of the parties and judicial economy for
11 LegalZoom to have an extension until and including May 27, 2009 to answer, move or
12 otherwise respond to the FAC.

13 DATED: May 11, 2009

14 **BOSTWICK & JASSY LLP**

15
16
17 By /s/ Jean-Paul Jassy
18 JEAN-PAUL JASSY
19 Attorneys for Defendant LegalZoom.com, Inc.

20 DATED: May 11, 2009

21 **KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP**

22 By /s/ Karl S. Kronenberger
23 KARL S. KRONENBERGER
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff InCorp Services, Inc.

25 DATED: May 12, 2009.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

27 
28 _____
JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT