

Rule 54(b) allows the court to "direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more but fewer
 than all the claims..." Fed. R. of Civ. Proc. 54(b). The court has the discretion to "determine the
 'appropriate time' when each final decision in a multiple claims action is ready for appeal." *Curtiss- Wright Corp. v. General Elec. Co.*, 446 U.S. 1, 8 (1980). In making such a determination, the court
 takes into consideration the judicial administrative interests as well as the equities involved. *Id.*

At the hearing on March 9, 2010, the court granted plaintiffs' motion for partial summary
judgment as to the dormant Commerce Clause claim and granted an injunction preventing
enforcement of the bona fide resident provision in Nye County Code § 9.20.090.A. However, the
court did not grant final judgment at this hearing and made no finding of "no just cause for delay."

The plaintiffs inappropriately included that finding in the proposed order that they submitted
to the court. The court hereby disavows that finding. Furthermore, a motion for final judgment was
inappropriate altogether, because the court's order granting an injunction was already appealable
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1).

28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) provides that the courts of appeals have jurisdiction of appeals from
interlocutory orders of the district court granting injunctions. Therefore, it was unnecessary for
plaintiffs to file a motion for final judgment pursuant to Rule 54(b). Accordingly, this motion for
reconsideration is granted.

18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant Nye County's
19 motion for reconsideration (Doc. #85) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

20

21

22

23

24

25

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

permits the court to alter or amend a judgment if: (1) the court is presented with newly discovered evidence; (2) "the court committed a clear error or made an initial decision that was manifestly unjust"; or (3) "there is an intervening change in controlling law." *Zimmerman v. City of Oakland*, 255 F.3d 734, 740 (9th Cir. 2001).

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs Kenneth Green, Debbie Rivenburgh, Western
2	Best, Inc., and Western Best, Ltd.'s motion for final judgment (Doc. #84) is DENIED.
3	DATED this 12th day of July, 2010.
4	
5	Xerris C. Mahan
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge	- 3 -