1	that. We can't sit around and wait for four or five months while we get
2	more data, especially in an enterprise fund where we know that our
3	revenues are taking a hit. So it just indicated to me that this was a crisis
4	manager and that she wasn't managing with any strategy. She was more
5	reactionary than anything.
6	MAYOR GIBSON: Are there other comments?
7	COUNCILMEMBER SCHRODER: I just wanted to make a
8	comment to Ms. Peck. This is not personal for me, for you; this is
9	business. I like you as a person and we've always gotten along very well,
10	and I want you to know that this isn't personal to you. This has to do with
11	the business of the City of Henderson.
12	With that said, based on the conversations I've had with
13	staff, I feel that information was intentionally kept from us, and I believe
14	that Ms. Peck's conduct to the staff prevented the staff with her
15	preventing the staff from informing us of vital information has lead us to
16	this point in our financial budget right now. So I just wanted to let
17	Ms. Peck know it's not personal; this is business.
18	MAYOR GIBSON: Well, I think that no matter what we say,
19	it sure feels personal. I'm sure it does. But the I think that all of us
20	have a fondness for Mary Kay. That's not the issue.
21	Now, under the very contract that Mr. Kirshman referred to,
22	as I understand it and I try not to do any lawyering myself here
23	someone else will determine whether or not we evaluated Ms. Peck. My
24	view is that the evaluations occurred; it wasn't as though Ms. Peck was
25	operating in the dark about how people felt about things. Obviously, some

of the things that we are talking about this evening come to light since people have felt free to talk about the City and their employment.

But under the - - under Paragraph 17 of this agreement, we - - under the agreement we understand we're to take action and then the follow-on language says that any claim or controversy between Peck and the City of Henderson arising under or in connection with this agreement shall be settled not in a public hearing, but rather by arbitration in accordance with the then-current employment dispute resolution rules of the American Arbitration Association and shall be the exclusive remedy for all disputes including, but not limited to Peck's compensation.

So, as I understand this contract, our responsibility is to determine what, if anything, we're going to do. And then if Ms. Peck has an issue with what we do, then she has the right, under the terms of this contract, to seek to have the matter arbitrated. I don't think that there is -- and I believe that that really is the construction we've been provided with respect to this contract.

So I think what we need to do now is determine where we go with this and what we do. And we - - when we look at this item the way it's been placed on our agenda - - I don't know that this has got it all in here. Have you got your book open so that you can tell me - - you can read what the items are? You can help me turn to it, can't you?

It says the recommended motions here are to accept a written resignation of Mary Kay Peck. We do not have such. It was a condition, I believe, of accepting the \$1.2 million offer that was presented Thursday.

1	Authorize execution of a severance agreement and release.
2	We don't have a severance agreement. There hasn't been any
3	negotiation that would have been upon terms which would have resolved
4	matters so that there could have been a severance agreement.
5	Direct issuance of a 30-day written notice to terminate Mary
6	Kay Peck not for cause in accordance with her employment contract. As I
7	understand it, the contract is very specific, that if the termination is not for
8	cause, then there is a 30-day advance written notice and she will be paid
9	in a way that is laid out in the contract. And presumably, that gives her an
10	opportunity to review whatever it is that has been determined.
11	And four is to place Mary Kay Peck on administrative leave.
12	Now I'm not sure I understand that alternative, because it seems to me
13	that standing alone, it doesn't mean anything. That administrative leave
14	might have something to do with some other action, but just administrative
15	leave all by itself doesn't mean anything to me.
16	And then finally, terminate Mary Kay Peck for cause in
17	accordance with the terms of her employment contract.
18	So I think we're to the point now where we we really need
19	to determine where we are, and someone needs to try to motion here.
20	And then we'll determine where we go with that.
21	COUNCILMEMBER KIRK: Mayor, will you just to make
22	certain that I understand what the term "administrative leave" means?
23	MAYOR GIBSON: Ms. Wilkinson?

1	MS. WILKINSON: Yes. In drafting that agenda Item, I
2	placed administrative leave option on there in the event that you voted to
3	terminate her not for cause and wanted to give her her 30-day written
4	notice, but keep her on leave with pay during that 30-day period.
5	COUNCILMEMBER KIRK: Thank you.
6	MAYOR GIBSON: So that's not a stand-alone provision;
7	that's as a part of the not for cause.
8	MS. WILKINSON: That's correct.
9	MAYOR GIBSON: Okay. Does that answer your question,
10	Mr. Kirk?
11	COUNCILMEMBER KIRK: It does, thank you.
12	COUNCILMEMBER CLARK: Mayor, I supported Ms. Peck
13	during her tenure as Planning Director. I thought she did a good job. I
14	supported her during her tenure as Assistant City Manager. And I thought
15	that she was the best choice for City Manager. Over time, I think it's
16	become clear that that wasn't the case.
17	And just a side note, I think the settlement offer that was
18	presented to us on Thursday clearly demonstrates a lack of understanding
19	in these tough economic times of financial issues. And I can't even
20	imagine where the number came from. But it really demonstrates a lack of
21	understanding about finance. And we simply need somebody in this
22	position that understands it.
23	I sincerely wish and I really thought that we could have
24	resolved this matter in an amicable way that was in the best interest of
25	everyone. Others have chosen to come down this path. The last thing

that I would have wanted to do was to hold this meeting. And the last -for sure, the last thing that I would have wanted to do is to deal with this
issue as I leave this Council and a really tremendous 16 years in dealing
with the most negative issue that I've had to deal with.

However, I think it's become clear that it's in no one's best interest for Ms. Peck's employment to continue with the City. So I, quite frankly, was going back and forth here for awhile until I heard some things this evening that really affected me as much as anything that I had on my own. And it really - - it really hurt my heart to hear some of the things tonight. And again, I feel like we're ganging up on somebody, who for the majority of 14 years, was a good, loyal employee. I think, perhaps, some bad advice has led her down a path that we can't get back from.

But when I add it all up, for me, the most important issue is the violation of our employee's rights and their confidence in us, and the budget issues, that we simply cannot overlook. We went to great lengths. We created a program for employees to retire. We reclassified employees. We laid employees off. We thought, and our employees thought, that the worse was behind us, and that we were - - we had resolved this.

And now we've got \$7.5 million more that we have to deal with in two months because we weren't informed. That places even more fear on our employees in that culture of fear that I described earlier. Now we may have other employees that lose their jobs, that lose their homes, that lose their cars, that can't feed their children because we didn't have the information that we needed to do our job.

1	I don't think we have any choice, under the circumstances,
2	and particularly under what this agreement that was proposed to us, than
3	to terminate Ms. Peck for cause in accordance with her employment
4	contract.
5	MAYOR GIBSON: So the as I understand it, then, you
6	are
7	COUNCILMEMBER CLARK: That is my motion.
8	MAYOR GIBSON: moving, then, to terminate for cause
9	with all that that carries with it.
10	COUNCILMEMBER CLARK: Yes.
11	NORMAN KIRSHMAN, ESQ: Mayor Gibson
12	MAYOR GIBSON: And that would
13	MR. KIRSHMAN: There is a misunderstanding and I'd like
14	to clear it up. I think it's a very important misunderstanding on the part of
15	the City Attorney's Office. And if I might have 30 seconds just to clear it
16	up.
17	MAYOR GIBSON: Mr. Kirshman, the concern I have is that -
18	I've known you for 30-plus years and I've worked with you and I have great
19	respect for you and high regard for you. I don't want you to think differently
20	But under the circumstances, if you have if you take issue with what
21	we've done or how we've done it, or under what circumstances we do it
22	MR. KIRSHMAN: The misunderstanding is that the
23	\$1,200,000 was a Last-Best and Final demand. It was a proposal and I
24	was inviting I was inviting negotiation. That's all. I just wanted that
25	understood.

1	MAYOR GIBSON: All right. Thank you. Thank you.
2	So let me just I just want to make this clear, because I
3	think it's important that the records articulate it. The motion comprehends
4	that in order to terminate Ms. Peck under this contract for cause, it has
5	been established in our minds in each of our minds that there has
6	been intentionally continued failure to substantially perform. And that's
7	so if you vote in the affirmative on this motion, then that is what you're
8	affirming. Okay? Is there any further discussion?
9	Please cast your votes. The motion carries unanimously.
10 11	// //
11	H
11 12	// //
11 12 13	// // //

I attest that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of Item NB-73 from the April 14, 2009, Henderson City Council meeting.

Tedie Jackson, Minutes Clerk