

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RONALD NEAL JOSEPH, SR.,)	
et al.)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	2:09-cv-00966-HDM-LRL
)	
vs.)	
)	
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE)	ORDER
DEPARTMENT, et al.)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	


Before the court is plaintiffs' "Objection to Magistrate's Ruling [70 & 72]" (Docket No. 77) construed as a motion for the district judge to reconsider the magistrate judge's orders (Docket Nos. 70 and 72) which denied plaintiffs' proposed protective order. Defendants have responded (Docket No. 80) and plaintiffs have replied (Docket No. 87).

The court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the

1 parties and other relevant matters of record related to plaintiffs'
2 motion (Docket No. 77). The magistrate judge's rulings (Docket
3 Nos. 70 and 72) are not clearly erroneous, contrary to law and/or
4 an abuse of discretion. See Local Rule IB-1(a); Fed. R. Civ. P.
5 26, 72. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion (Docket No. 77) is DENIED
6 and the magistrate judge's rulings (Docket Nos. 70 and 72) are
7 AFFIRMED.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED this 1st day of March 2011.

10 
11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26