

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER  
 & CHECK, LLP  
 ELI R. GREENSTEIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 JENNIFER L. JOOST (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 PAUL A. BREUCOP (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 One Sansome Street, Suite 1850  
 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: 415/400-3000  
 415/400-3001 (fax)  
 – and –  
 GREGORY M. CASTALDO (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 280 King of Prussia Rd.  
 Radnor, PA 19087  
 Telephone: 610/667-7706  
 610/667-7056 (fax)

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN  
 & DOWD LLP  
 ARTHUR C. LEAHY (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 BRIAN O. O’MARA (Nevada Bar #8214)  
 RYAN A. LLORENS (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 MATTHEW I. ALPERT (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900  
 San Diego, CA 92101  
 Telephone: 619/231-1058  
 619/231-7423 (fax)

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP  
 BRADLEY E. BECKWORTH (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 JEFFREY J. ANGELOVICH (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 SUSAN WHATLEY (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 LISA P. BALDWIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 205 Linda Drive  
 Daingerfield, TX 75638  
 Telephone: 903/645-7333  
 903/645-4415 (fax)

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re MGM MIRAGE SECURITIES  
 LITIGATION

\_\_\_\_\_  
 This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS.  
 \_\_\_\_\_

) No. 2:09-cv-01558-GMN-VCF  
 )  
 ) CLASS ACTION  
 )  
 ) [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND  
 ) ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE  
 )  
 ) DATE: March 1, 2016  
 ) TIME: 9:00 a.m.  
 ) CTRM: The Honorable Gloria M. Navarro

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice (“Notice Order”) dated September 11, 2015, the Order Continuing December 15, 2015 Settlement Hearing and Extending Certain Deadlines in Connection Therewith dated December 7, 2015 (the “Extension Order”) and the Court’s January 21, 2016 Minute Order Further Continuing the Settlement Hearing to March 1, 2016 (Dkt. No. 388), on the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated August 28, 2015 (the “Settlement Agreement”). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in said Orders, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. This Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice (“Judgment”) incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise set forth herein.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Settling Parties to the Action, including all members of the Class.

3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Notice Order and finally certifies for purposes of settlement only, a Class defined as all Persons or entities who, between August 2, 2007 and March 5, 2009, inclusive, purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly-traded securities of MGM Mirage (now known as MGM Resorts International) (“MGM”), and were allegedly damaged thereby. The securities include both MGM common stock as well as the following debt securities (“MGM Bonds”): (i) 5.875% MGM Bonds, due 2/27/14; (ii) 6.0% MGM Bonds, due 10/1/09; (iii) 6.625% MGM Bonds, due

7/15/15; (iv) 6.75% MGM Bonds, due 9/1/12; (v) 6.75% MGM Bonds, due 4/1/13; (vi) 6.875% MGM Bonds, due 4/1/16; (vii) 7.5% MGM Bonds, due 6/1/16; (viii) 7.625% MGM Bonds, due 1/15/17; (ix) 8.375% MGM Bonds, due 2/1/11; (x) 8.5% MGM Bonds, due 9/15/10; and (xi) 13% MGM Bonds, due 11/15/13. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, officers and directors of MGM, members of each Defendant's immediate family, any entity in which any Defendant has or had a controlling interest, Defendants' legal representatives, and the heirs, successors or assigns of any such excluded party. Also excluded from the Class are those Persons (as identified in Exhibit A hereto) who timely and validly excluded themselves therefrom.

4. For purposes of settlement only, the Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Notice Order and finds the prerequisites for a class action under Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied in that: (a) the members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all Class Members in the class action is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any individual question; (c) the claims of the Lead Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class; (d) Lead Plaintiffs and their counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Class Members; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, considering: (i) the interests of Class Members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions, (ii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by Class Members, (iii) the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of these claims in this particular forum, and (iv) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the class action.

5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class.

6. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement and Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties, and that the Settlement Agreement and Settlement are hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.

7. Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of all the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, as well as the terms and provisions hereof. The Court hereby dismisses, as to the Defendants, the Action and all Released Claims of the Class with prejudice, without costs as to any of the Released Persons, except and as to the extent provided in the Settlement Agreement and herein.

8. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Settlement Agreement, Lead Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members, other than those listed on Exhibit A hereto, and their predecessors, successors, agents, representatives, attorneys, and affiliates, and the heirs, executors, administrators, trustees, successors, and assigns of each of them, in their capacity as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged against the Released Persons (whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release form) any and all Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), as well as any claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the defense, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims against the Released Persons, Lead Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' Counsel, except for claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement.

9. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Settlement Agreement, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Lead Plaintiffs, each and all of the Class Members, other than those listed on Exhibit A hereto, and Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims, except for claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement.

10. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Settlement Agreement, Lead Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members and their predecessors, successors, agents, representatives, attorneys, and affiliates, and the heirs, executors, administrators, trustees, successors, and assigns of each of them, in their capacity as such, other than those listed on Exhibit A hereto, shall be permanently barred and enjoined from the assertion, institution, maintenance, prosecution, or enforcement against any Released Person, in any state or federal court or arbitral forum, or in the court of any foreign jurisdiction, administrative forum or other forum of any kind, either directly or indirectly, on their own behalf or on behalf of any class or other person, of any and all Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), as well as any claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the defense, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims against the Released Persons, Lead Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' Counsel, except for claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release.

11. The Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement, Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Settlement Fairness Hearing given to the Class in accordance with the Notice Order entered on September 11, 2015 and the Extension Order entered on December 7, 2015, was

the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and the requirement of Section 21D(a)(7) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “PSLRA”), and all other applicable law and rules.

12. Separate orders shall be entered regarding the proposed Plan of Allocation and Lead Plaintiffs’ Motion for an Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses to Lead Counsel and Awards to Lead Plaintiffs as allowed by the Court. Any plan of allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys’ fees and expenses shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.

13. Neither the Settlement Agreement nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations, discussions or proceedings connected with it, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any of the allegations in the Action or of the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Persons; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Released Persons in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, arbitration proceeding, administrative agency, or forum or tribunal in which the Released Persons are or become parties; or (c) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission or evidence that any claims asserted by Lead Plaintiffs were not valid

or that the amount recoverable was not greater than the Settlement Amount, in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. The Released Persons, Lead Plaintiffs, Class Members, and their respective counsel, may file the Settlement Agreement and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim. The Settling Parties may file the Settlement Agreement and/or this Judgment in any proceedings that may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement, or the Judgment.

14. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, costs and expenses in the Action; and (d) all Settling Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Settlement Agreement.

15. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

16. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to the Defendants, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection

herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.


17. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

18. The Court has considered the objections related to the Settlement filed by Nickolas A. Kacprowski and William E. Stafford, Jr., and finds them to be without merit. Therefore, they are overruled in their entirety.

19. The Court directs immediate entry of this Judgment by the Clerk of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 1, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE GLORIA M. NAVARRO  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



# **EXHIBIT A**

# **EXHIBIT A**

## Exclusions Report

Exclusion ID	FirstName	LastName	Name1	Received Date	Securities reported
MGMMRAGE-EXCL00001	BARRY T	MILLER		11/2/2015	120000 shares (listed as held at the beginning of the Class Period)
MGMMRAGE-EXCL00002	COREY	POMRANKE		11/9/2015	10 shares common stock
MGMMRAGE-EXCL00003	CHRIS	PAPADOPOULOS		11/23/2015	475 shares common stock (dates listed after Class Period)
MGMMRAGE-EXCL80001	JOSEPH I	SHULMAN	JUDITH SHULMAN	11/27/2015	120 shares common stock
MGMMRAGE-EXCL80002	JAMES	LANCASTER(DECEASED)	ZELA LANCASTER	12/22/2015	440 shares common stock (dates listed after Class Period)
MGMMRAGE-EXCL80003	MAOYA	HU		12/23/2015	no shares provided
MGMMRAGE-EXCL80004	DIANA	GIONET		1/7/2016	no shares provided
MGMMRAGE-EXCL80005	MARTIN W	BERGMAN		1/11/2016	no shares provided