supported by a showing of good cause for the extension.

27

28

Defendant Pokertek requests that language in the scheduling order regarding the timeliness of its expert designation be removed because it asserts it was not part of the original motion to extend deadlines. However, this court finds that defendant has not shown good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) to amend or reconsider the magistrate judge's order.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Pokertek's motion to reconsider magistrate judge's order (doc. #27) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

DATED August 23, 2010.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE