

MORRIS PETERSON ATTORNEYS AT LAW 900 BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702/474-9400 FAX 702/474-9422

Dockets.Justia.com

- 1. 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d) establishes an administrative process for claims against the FDIC in its capacity as Receiver of a failed financial institution.
- 2. Plaintiffs in this action have submitted their administrative claims as required by statute.
- 3. 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(5)(A)(i) gives the FDIC 180 days to render a decision on plaintiffs' claims, and, under 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(13)(D), the Court may, and the parties submit, should, stay the case during the pendency of that administrative claims process. *See*, *e.g. Marquis v. FDIC*, 965 F.2d 1148, 1155 (1st Cir. 1992) ("The court may. . . in its discretion and ordinarily should stay proceedings . . . so as to permit exhaustion of the mandatory administrative claims review process.").
- 4. The parties twice stipulated to stay this case for 180 days or until the FDIC as Receiver rendered a decision on plaintiffs' claims, whichever came first (##13, 15).
- 5. On the parties' stipulation, the Court stayed this case for up to 180 days, commencing on December 24, 2009, to allow the claims process to proceed (#14). The Court later extended that stay, again on the parties stipulation, for an additional 180 days, until November 11, 2010 (#16).
- 6. During the course of this stay, the parties have been involved in settlement negotiations under the guidance of the Honorable Stewart Bell (Ret.). As part of this effort, the parties have met multiple times and plaintiffs have produced reams of information requested by the FDIC to support the settlement negotiations. The parties have made substantial progress.
- 7. An additional 30 day stay is necessary to allow the parties to continue, and hopefully, complete their negotiations. Given this, plaintiffs have now agreed to extend the claims process for an additional 30 days as permitted by 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(5)(A)(ii).

| 8. Based on this, the parties stipulate to stay extend the current |
|---|
| stay until December 13, 2010. This third extension is warranted, as outlined |
| above, to permit the parties additional time to resolve some or all of the claims in |
| this case. |
| BAILEY KENNEDY MORRIS PETERSON |
| |
| By /s/ Kimberly McGhee By /s/ Robert McCoy |
| Dennis L. Kennedy, No. 1462 Kimberly McGhee, No. 9728 Craig Henderson, No. 10077 Robert McCoy, No. 9121 Rex D. Garner, No. 9401 900 Bank of America Plaza |
| |
| 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 |
| Attorneys for Jeffrey B. Guinn and Monica A. Guinn, individually and as Trustees of the Del Mar Trust, R. Kent Attorneys for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for Community Bank of Nevada |
| Trustees of the Del Mar Trust, R. Kent Barry and Mary Sunshine Barry, |
| individually and as Trustees of the Barry Family Trust, Sean P. Corrigan |
| and Lisa D. Corrigan, individually and as Trustees of the S&I. Corrigan Family |
| Trust, Coronado Canyons, LLC, Pacific Sunset Development, LLC, Coronado Bay Investments, LLC, Aspen Financial |
| Bay Investments, LLC, Aspen Financial Services, LLC, Coronado Aspen 11, |
| LLC, and Coronado Nevada, LLC |
| ORDER |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| Thy m. On |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| DATED:November 9, 2010 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

MORRIS PETERSON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
900 BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA
300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
702/474-9400
FAX 702/474-9422