1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	CINDY NUGENT-DAVI,	Case No. 2:09-cv-01921-MMD-GWF
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	CLARK COUNTY, et al.,	(Plf.'s Motion to Dismiss – dkt. no. 102)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Before the Court is Plaintiff Cindy Nugent-Davi's Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. no.	
16	102.) Nugent-Davi filed this Complaint complaining of civil rights violations arising out of	
17	her October 2007 arrest and subsequent detention. On July 23, 2012, Nugent-Davi filed	
18	the instant Motion to Dismiss seeking Court dismissal of this case because of her	
19	inability to dedicate resources towards its prosecution. Defendant City of Las Vegas	
20	does not oppose dismissal of the case.	
21	Nugent-Davi may seek dismissal of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2)	
22	if the opposing party has already served an answer. Rule 41(a)(2) provides "an action	
23	may be dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court	
24	considers proper." The decision to grant or deny a request pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) is	
25	within the sound discretion of the trial court and is reviewed only for abuse of discretion.	
26	Sams v. Beech Aircraft Corp., 625 F.2d 273, 277 (9th Cir. 1980). Dismissal pursuant to	
27	Rule 41(a)(2) should be granted unless the defendant can show that it will suffer some	
28	clear legal prejudice as a result. Smith v. I	<i>Lenches</i> , 263 F.3d 972, 975 (9th Cir. 2001).

1	As no oppositions were filed to Nugent-Davi's Motion, the Court is satisfied that good	
2	cause appears to dismiss this suit.	
3	IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Cindy Nugent-Davi's	
4	Motion to Dismiss (dkt. no. 102) is GRANTED.	
5	The Clerk of Court shall close this close accordingly.	
6	DATED THIS 24 th day of January 2013.	
7		
8		
9	MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	