Galina Sudai v. E	vans et al	Do	oc. 59
1			
2			
3 4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9			
10	THE GALINA SUDAI 2009		
11	IRREVOCABLE TRUST FOR THE BENEFIT OF HER HANDICAPPED SON, MICHAEL KUBRAK,	Case No. 2:09-cv-2181-LDG (RJJ)	
12	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	V.		
14	ROBB EVANS, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	Defendants Patti Michaely (Patti) and Robb Evans (Evans) move to be dismissed		
19	(#48) from the complaint of plaintiff, The Galina Sudai 2009 Irrevocable Trust for the		
20	Benefit of Her Handicapped Son, Michael Kubrak ("Trust"). The Trust has not timely		
21	opposed. This failure constitutes consent to the granting of the motion. See, L.R. 7-2(d).		
22	Dismissal is particularly appropriate in this instance as the present motion is Patti and Evans' second motion to dismiss. They filed their first motion to dismiss in January,		
23 24	2010. The Trust never filed an opposition to that motion. Rather, on the penultimate day		
24 25	to oppose, the Trust moved for an additional 45 days to file an opposition. The Trust		
26	asserted that it was retaining new counsel. Subsequently, and on the penultimate day of		
20	accorded that it was retaining new couns	oi. Cabboquonity, and on the penditimate day of	

additional time, the Trust filed its First Amended Complaint, rendering moot the first motion to dismiss.

Patti and Evans then filed the instant motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

The Trust responded using the same strategy: on the penultimate day to file an opposition, the Trust moved for an additional 45 days to file an opposition as it was retaining new counsel.

In granting the Trust's second request for additional time, the court notified the Trust that the court would *not* grant any further enlargement of time to oppose the motion to dismiss. The court also expressly notified the Trust that the failure to *timely* file points and authorities in opposition to the motion to dismiss would constitute a consent to the granting of the motion to dismiss. Accordingly, as the Trust failed to timely oppose the motion to dismiss.

THE COURT **ORDERS** that Patti Michaely and Robb Evans' Motion to Dismiss (#48) is GRANTED; Plaintiffs' complaint is DISMISSED as to Patti Michaely and Robb Evans.

DATED this ____ day of June, 2010.

Lløyd D. Gegrge United States District Judge