1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	PACIFIC COAST STEEL, et al.,	
11	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 2:09-CV-02190-KJD-PAL
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	TODD LEE LEANY, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		I
16	Presently before the Court is Defendants' Objection to Magistrate's Ruling on Defendants'	
17	Motion to Compel Inspection (#201). Plaintiffs filed a response in opposition (#204). Objections to	
18	the magistrate judge's Order (#192) were filed pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-1 of the Local Rules of	
19	Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.	
20	Defendants are required to demonstrate that the magistrate judge's ruling is clearly erroneous	
21	or contrary to law. The Court finds that the magistrate's Order (#192) is neither clearly erroneous	
22	nor contrary to law under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A).	
23	This Court does not have a definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been made. See Weeks v.	
24	Samsung Heavy Indus. Co. Ltd., 126 F.3d 92	26, 943 (7th Cir. 1997).
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORD	ERED that Defendants' Objection (#201) is DENIED;
26		

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the magistrate judge's Order (#192) is AFFIRMED.
2	DATED this 21 st day of January 2011.
3	
4	
5	Kent J. Dawson
6	United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
	2