I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	RICKY LEWIS,	
11	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:09-CV-02393-KJD-RJJ
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	HOWARD SKOLNIK, <u>et al.</u> ,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Currently pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time (#12).	
17	Plaintiff seeks an enlargement of time in which to file a responsive pleading to Defendants' Motion	
18	to Dismiss (#8). Specifically, Plaintiff avers that "Defendants' motion is premature as the case is	
19	unripe for summary judgment, due to the fact that no discovery has been done", and states that more	
20	time is needed in which to conduct discovery. (#12 at 2.) Additionally, Plaintiff requests that the	
21	Court stay Defendants' Motion to Dismiss "until after discovery has been completed." (Id.)	
22	Defendants' Motion seeks dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	
23	12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Defendants allege, inter alia	
24	that Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed due to Plaintiff's failure to exhaust his administrative	
25	remedies, and seeks summary judgment only as an alternative to dismissal.	
26		

1	Upon examination of the Motion, the Court does not find that a stay is necessary at this point
2	in the litigation, yet grants Plaintiff additional time in which to file points and authorities in
3	opposition to Defendants' Motion as required by Local Rule 7-2(d).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time
(#12) is GRANTED in part, and DENIED in part. Plaintiff's request for an extension of time is
granted, his remaining requests are denied.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file points and authorities in opposition to
8 Defendants' Motion, on or before September 30, 2010. Failure to comply with this Order may result
9 in dismissal of Defendants' action.

DATED this 10th day of September, 2010.

Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge