

lawsuit is an unfounded attempt to delay valid foreclosure proceeding and a stay will eliminate
 unnecessary fees and costs for all parties. Guerra did not file an opposition. Chase and MERS did
 file a notice of non-opposition.

DISCUSSION

5 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d) "[t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion shall constitute consent to the granting of the motion." Abbot v. United 6 7 Venture Capital, Inc., 718 F.Supp. 828, 831 (D. Nev. 1989). The local rules, no less than the federal 8 rules or acts of Congress, have the force of law. Marshall v. Gates, 44 F.3d 722, 723 (9th Cir. 1995). 9 While the court has an obligation to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *pro se* litigants must follow 10 the same rules of procedures that govern other litigants. King v. Ativeh, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 11 1986) (citation omitted). Here, the plaintiff did not file an opposition to defendants Chase and 12 MERS motion to stay discovery and has consented to the granting of the motion. Cf. Yen Hsueh Lai 13 v. Countrywide Home Loans, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 58811 (D. Nev.) (granting a motion to dismiss 14 in a foreclosure challenge because the plaintiff failed to file an opposition).

Moreover, after reviewing the pending motion to dismiss, the court finds that the plaintiff is
unlikely to prevail on said motion. Staying discovery pending a resolution on a motion to dismiss
is appropriate if it appears that the opposing party has no chance of prevailing on the motion to
dismiss. *Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Tracinda Corp.*, 175 F.R.D. 554, 555 (D. Nev. 1997).
The stay is limited to the defendants who made the motion. *See White v. American Tobacco*

20 Company, 125 F.R.D. 508 (D. Nev. 1989).

4

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Stay (#41) is **GRANTED**. DATED this <u>18th</u> day of Aug

Colat Johnston