MCDONALD-CARANO-WILSON 100 WEST LIBERTY STREET, 10" FLOOR - RENO, NEVADA 89501 100 WEST LIBERTY STREET, 10" FLOOR - RENO, NEVADA 89501 PLOIS 2000 - FLAT 775-788_2000	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Paul J. Georgeson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 5322 Ryan L. Bellows, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 9508 McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP 100 West Liberty Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 2670 Reno, Nevada 89505 Telephone: (775) 788-2000 pgeorgeson@mcdonaldcarano.com rbellows@mcdonaldcarano.com J. William Blue, Jr., Esq. NORTHEN BLUE, LLP Post Office Box 2208 Chapel Hill, NC 27515-2208 Telephone: (919) 968-4441 jwb@nbfirm.com Attorneys for Defendants <b>UNITED STATES D</b> <b>DISTRICT OI</b> KANTI GALA, an individual; HEMI GALA, an individual; GALA WORLDWIDE, INC., a Virginia corporation, Plaintiffs, VS. WILLIAM B. BRITT, an individual; PEGGY BRITT, an individual; KANTI GALA (II), an individual; BRITT WORLDWIDE, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; TRINITY EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Defendants. // BRITT WORLDWIDE, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Counterclaimant, VS. KANTI GALA, an individual; HEMI GALA, an individual; GALA INTERNATIONAL, INC., a	
	27 28	Virginia corporation, Counterdefendants.	
	20		

5 6 7 8 9 10 CDONALD-CARANO-WILSON 11 12 30X 2670 • RENO, NEVADA 89505-2670 PHONE 775-788-2000 • FAX 775-788-2020 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

1

Plaintiffs, Kanti Gala, Hemi Gala, and Gala Worldwide, Inc., by and through their 2 counsel, Tyler R. Andrews, and Defendants, Billy B. Britt (incorrectly named as William B. 3 Britt), Peggy Britt, Kanta Gala (II) and Trinity Educational Systems, LLC, by and through their 4 counsel, Paul J. Georgeson, Esq., of the law firm of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP, hereby submit this Stipulation to Stay Discovery in this matter, including FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures and the FRCP 26(f) conference, pending rulings by the Court on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc #15) and Britt Worldwide, LLC's Application for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment (Doc #17), which Motion and Application have been fully briefed by the parties and submitted to the Court for decision. This request to stay discovery is made upon good cause on the following facts:

This case, including all original claims and counterclaims, involves issues relating to the validity of prior arbitration proceedings as well as the enforceability of a prior arbitration award.

Plaintiffs in this case have asserted affirmative claims arguing that the underlying arbitration proceedings were invalid and improper.

Defendants allege that the arbitration proceedings were valid and have filed an Application with this Court for Confirmation of an Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment (Doc #17). Plaintiffs, in response to that Application, have argued that the Arbitration Award should not be enforced. Plaintiffs filed an Opposition to the Application on July 9, 2010 and BWW filed its Reply in Support of the Application on July 19, 2010. See Doc. nos. 21, 25.

20In addition, Defendants have filed a Motion to Dismiss (Doc #15) Plaintiffs' claims in 21this matter. Plaintiffs filed their Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss on July 9, 2010 and 22 Defendants filed their Reply on July 19, 2010. See Doc. nos. 22, 24.

23 The Application for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment and the Motion to Dismiss have been fully briefed and submitted to the Court for decision and Plaintiffs 24 25 have requested oral argument on the Motion and Application.

26 Whether any discovery at all will be required in this case, and, if so, the nature and scope 27 of any such discovery which may be required, is wholly dependent upon the outcome of the 28 pending Motion and Application.

5 scope at 6 Motion 7 because 8 fully bri 9 near futt 10 7 11 agree as 12 1 13 FRCP 2 13 FRCP 2 14 Motion 15 Arbitrati 16 2 17 Arbitrati 18 Dated th

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

The parties desire to stay all discovery in this matter, including initial disclosures and the initial case conference, until after rulings are issued by the Court on the Motion and Application. The parties wish to do so to avoid unnecessary cost and expense in this proceeding and to avoid having to move forward with discovery when the necessity of any discovery, as well as the scope and nature of further discovery, if any, is dependent upon the outcome of the current Motion and Application. The parties further note that any such stay will not cause undue delay because the Motion and Application which serve as bases for this request have already been fully briefed by the parties and the parties expect a decision on those motions in the relatively near future.

Therefore, for good cause, the parties, by and through their counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

 That all discovery in this matter, including FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures and the FRCP 26(f) conference, shall be stayed until such time as this Court has ruled on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #15) and Britt WorldWide, LLC's Application for Confirmation of Arbitration Award and Entry of Judgment (Doc. #17).

2. That this Stipulation is entered into in good faith, not for the purposes of delay, and is made in the interests of efficiency.

- 18 Dated this  $5^{\text{th}}$  day of August, 2010.
- 19 McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
- 20 /s/ Ryan Bellows
  21 Paul J. Georgeson (NSBN 5322) Ryan L. Bellows (NSBN 9508)
  22 100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501

24 *Attorneys for Defendants* 

DATED this <u>lst</u> day of

Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Tyler R. Andrews Tyler R. Andrews (NSBN 9499) 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1 Colat Johnston