1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		•
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	DISTRIC	T OF NEVADA
20	YVETTE WEINSTEIN, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE OF THE JOSH MOLINE	CASE NO. 2:10-CV-95
21	BANKRUPTCY ESTATE. (Re: 145 Attingham Park,)	
22	Plaintiff,	Stinulation For Extension of Time To File
23	V.	Stipulation For Extension of Time To File Motions, Oppositions and/or Replies
24	VILLAGE OAKS MORTGAGE LLC,	ORDER
25	Foreign Limited Liability Company; HEATHER ZABORSKY, Individually;	
26 27	AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY, Foreign Company	
28	WELLS FARGO HOME EQUITY, Foreign Company	
		<b>.</b>

MORTGAGEIT, INC, Foreign Corporation; ROE Rating Company; DOES 1-100, inclusive; ROE ENTITIES 1-100; ROE CORPORATIONS 1-100, inclusive

Defendants

19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |

27 .

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto, by and through their respective counsel, MICHAEL R. HALL, ESQ. and, STEVEN T. JAFFE, ESQ., of the law firm HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, L.L.P., for Plaintiff, and, CYNTHIA L. ALEXANDER, ESQ., CLAIR Y. DOSSIER, ESQ., CASSIE R. STRATFORD, ESQ., of the law firm SNELL & WILMER, LLP, for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., incorrectly named as "Wells Fargo Home Equity," dba America's Servicing Company, and successor by merger to Wells Fargo Home Mortgage ("Wells Fargo"), that the time in this matter be extended to file motion papers by two weeks. Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Or In The Alternative, For Summary Judgment (hereinafter "Motion to Dismiss") on January 29, 2010. The current date to file Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss is February 22, 2010, and Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss is March 9, 2010. Plaintiff filed his Motion to Remand on January 28, 2010, and Defendant filed its Opposition to Motion to Remand on February 5, 2010. The current date to file Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion To Remand is February 16, 2010.

This is the parties first stipulation to extend time to file motions. Defendant filed its lengthy Motion to Dismiss on January 29, 2010. The Defendant's Motion to Dismiss argues for the dismissal of eleven causes of action, and as such, requires more time to effectively oppose it. Moreover, the lead attorney on this case has been in trial from February 1, 2010 to the present date, and therefore Plaintiff requires more time to oppose Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and reply to Defendant's Opposition to her Motion To Remand. Per the stipulation, the new date to file Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss will be March 1, 2010; the new date to file Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition To

1	Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss will be March 23, 2010; and the new date to file Plaintiff	
2	Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion To Remand will be March 2, 2010.	
3	DATED this day of February, 2010 DATED this day of February, 2010.	
4	HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP SNELL & WILMER,, LLP	
5		
6	By: By:	
1	MICHAEL R. HALL OYNTHIA L. AZEXANDER, ESQ Nevada Bar No. 005978 Nevada Bar No. 6718	
8	STEVEN T. JAFFE CLAIRE Y DOSSIER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007035 Nevada Bar No. 10030 .	
9	7455 West Washington Ave., Suite 460 CASSIE/R. STRATFORD, ESQ Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Nevada Bar No. 11288	
10	Attorney for Plaintiff 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169	
11	Attorney for Defendant	
12		
13	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
15	1,1-,	
16	Elsihe	
17	Ost, or o	
18	LARRY R. HICKS	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20	DATED: February 18, 2010.	
21	211122 1 1 661881 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
22		
1		
2		
25		
26		