

1 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
 2 B. Trent Webb, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 3 Eric Buresh, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 4 2555 Grand Boulevard
 5 Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613
 6 Telephone: (816) 474-6550
 7 Facsimile: (816) 421-5547
 8 bwebb@shb.com
 9 eburesh@shb.com

10 Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
 11 600 Travis Street, Suite 1600
 12 Houston, Texas 77002
 13 Telephone: (713) 227-8008
 14 Facsimile: (713) 227-9508
 15 rreckers@shb.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG
 Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086)
 Brandon Roos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 7888)
 Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229)
 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
 Suite 400 North
 Las Vegas, NV 89169
 Telephone: (702) 792-3773
 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
tratosm@gtlaw.com
roosb@gtlaw.com
godfreyl@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants
 RIMINI STREET, INC. and SETH RAVIN

16
 17
 18
 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 20 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

21 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
 22 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware
 23 corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
 CORPORATION, a California corporation,

24 Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 RIMINI STREET, INC. , a Nevada corporation;
 27 SETH RAVIN, an individual,

28 Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**ORDER GRANTING
 DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO PERMIT DEFENDANTS
 TO FILE UNDER SEAL
 DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
 PRESERVATION ORDER AND
 DECLARATION OF ROBERT H.
 RECKERS IN SUPPORT OF
 OPPOSITION**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


I. **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pending before this Court is Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin (together “Rimini Street” or “Defendants”) Administrative Motion to Permit Defendants to File Under Seal Defendants’ Opposition to Oracle’s Motion for Preservation Order (“Motion”), the Declaration of Robert H. Reckers (“Declaration”), and Exhibit G (“Exhibit”) thereto. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal, compelling reasons having been shown and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Defendants’ Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of the Declaration and Exhibit.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: September 9, 2010

By: 
Peggy A. Leen
United States Magistrate Judge