1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	* * *
8	SAFETY MUTUAL CASUALTY) CORPORATION,) 2:10-CV-00426-PMP-PAL
9	Plaintiff,
10	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
11	CLARK COUNTY NEVADA.,
12	Defendant.
13)
14	Before the Court for consideration is Plaintiff's fully briefed Motion for
15	Summary Judgment (Doc. #39). Having considered the arguments presented on the papers
16	and at the hearing conducted April 17, 2012, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Motion for
17	Summary Judgment (Doc. #39) must be granted.
18	The Parties are familiar with the facts of this case and they need not be restated
19	here. Specifically, the findings of permanent total disability rendered as to Fire Fighters
20	Michael Lucas on February 13, 2003, and Lloyd Johnson on April 24, 2003, on their
21	respective claims made in 2000, were not subject to coverage under Safety Mutual
22	Casualty's Excess Insurance Policy because the claims advanced in 2000 did not arise from
23	an "occurrence" under the policy for occupational diseases during the coverage period
24	which ended in 1990.
25	The language of Safety Mutual's Excess Workers Compensation Insurance

Policy issued to Clark County and effective for the relevant period June 30, 1989 to June

1	30, 1990, is unambiguous. Based upon the evidence and arguments submitted, the Court
2	finds no genuine issue of material fact remains.
3	DATED: April 19, 2012.
4	Q_{1} q_{2} Q_{-}
5	Chip M. On
6	PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2