



1 made by Cannon.” See Docket No. 638 at 2-3. As such, it appears that Plaintiffs rely on arguments  
2 made in previous briefing to Judges Navarro and Johnston that are not explicitly addressed in the  
3 pending briefing. The Court declines to review several sets of previous briefs in an attempt to  
4 decipher Plaintiffs’ arguments, especially since Plaintiffs’ briefing to Judge Johnston did not discuss  
5 or cite *Wardleigh*. See Docket No. 564.

6 In light of the above, the Court hereby orders as follows:

7 (1) Defendant shall file a renewed motion to set aside Plaintiffs’ assertion of privilege, no  
8 later than October 24, 2013;

9 (2) Plaintiffs shall file a response to that renewed motion, no later than October 29, 2013;

10 and

11 (3) Defendant shall file a reply, no later than November 1, 2013.

12 This briefing shall be comprehensive of all of the issues currently before the Court for its  
13 consideration. The briefing shall not rely on prior briefing to Judges Navarro or Johnston, but  
14 instead it shall explicitly detail all arguments the parties deem germane for the undersigned’s  
15 consideration, citation and discussion of the relevant case law, and citation, discussion and  
16 attachment of any support from the record.

17 To the extent Defendant’s opening brief (Docket No. 631) constitutes a renewed motion to  
18 set aside Plaintiffs’ assertion of privilege, it is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: October 21, 2013

21  
22   
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 NANCY J. KOPPE  
25 United States Magistrate Judge  
26  
27  
28