Michael R. Pfeifer, Esq. (CAB.N. 072245) Annabelle de la Mora, Esq. (CAB.N. 117649) 1 PFEIFER & DE LA MORA, LLP 765 The City Drive, Suite 380 Orange, CA 92868 Telephone: (714) 703-9300 Facsimile: (714) 703-9303 3 4 Email: mpfeifer@pfeiferlaw.com Local Counsel: 5 Michael L. Peters, Esq. (NV B.N. 989) Attorney at Law 601 S. 10th Street, Suite 102 Las Vegas, NV 89101 7 Telephone: (702) 894-4100 Facsimile: (702) 894-9466 8 Email: mikeplaw@earthlink.net 9 Attorneys for IRWIN MORTGAGE CORPORATION 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 12 COPPER SANDS HOMEOWNERS Case No. 2:10-cy-510-GMN-LRL 13 ASSOCIATION, INC., a Nevada non-profit 14 corporation; MARCIA JARRETT; CHARLES Assigned Judge: Hon. Gloria M. Navarro WOOD; RICHARD DRESSLER; RICHARD [Formerly Case No. 08A560139 in the 15 EMANUEL; PAUL DOYLE; ARLENE Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark MARENTIC; BOJAN NENADIC; EVERETT County, Nevada] 16 F. CROXSON; MYRA SCHULTZ; STEVEN GAZZA; MILORAD JAGROVIC; DAVID G. 17 FERGUSON; JANE SOO HOO LUI; ZUI YI **DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT** 18 QIU; DORON GERBY; CATALIN NISTOR; **IRWIN MORTGAGE CORPORATION'S** and HILARY GARBER, on their own behalf **OBJECTIONS TO STIPULATED** 19 and on behalf of all others similarly situated; DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING and POE HOMEOWNERS 1 through 2000, **ORDER FILED ON JULY 30, 2010** 20 21 Plaintiff, 22 VS. 23 COPPER SANDS REALTY, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; ROBERT 24 COLUCCI, an individual; DARIO DELUCA, 25 an individual, a/k/a DARIO DE LUCA; CBC INVESTMENTS, INC., a Nevada corporation; 26 JIM CERRONE, an individual; COMPLEX SOLUTIONS, LIMITED, a Nevada limited 27 28

DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT IRWIN MORTGAGE CORPORATION'S OBJECTIONS TO

STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER

2:10-cv-510-GMN-LRL

1	liability company; COPPER SANDS
	INVESTORS LP, a Nevada limited
2	partnership; COUNTRYWIDE HOME
3	LOANS, INC., a New York corporation; CS
3	CONSULTING SERVICE, LLC, a Nevada
4	limited liability company; TERESA
	CUSHMAN, an individual; RENATO
5	DELUCA, a/k/a RAY DELUCA and RAY DE
	LUCA; DFT, INC., a California corporation,
6	d/b/a THE CANYON MANAGEMENT
7	COMPANY; SHAWN HEYL, an individual;
	LYNDA HOANG, an individual; IRWIN
8	MORTGAGE CORPORATION, an Indiana
	corporation; BRENT JONES, an individual,
9	BRENT JONES SERVICES, INC., a Nevada
,	corporation; MANIETTA ELECTRIC, INC., a
10	California corporation; MORTGAGE LOAN
11	SPECIALISTS, INC., a California corporation;
	PACIFICA ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS LP, a
12	California limited partnership; PACIFICA
.	ENTERPRISES, INC., a California
13	corporation; PACIFICA ENTERPRISES LLC,
14	a Nevada limited liability company;
۱ ۲	PACIFICA MARKETING SERVICES, LLC, a
15	Nevada limited liability company d/b/a
	"CONDO CLUB", "CONDO CLUB LAS
16	VEGAS", and "CONDO CLUB – LAS
17	VEGAS", PACIFICA REAL ESTATE
1'	INVESTMENTS, INC., a California
18	corporation; PACIFICA REAL ESTATE
	SERVICES, INC., a California corporation;
19	PLASTER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY,
20	INC., a Nevada corporation, d/b/a
20	"SIGNATURE HOMES" and "SIGNATURE
21	HOMES, INC.", PREMIER COMMUNITIES,
- 1	INC., a Nevada corporation; PREMIER
22	FINANCIAL, LLC, a California limited liability
_,	company; PREMIER REALTY SERVICES,
23	INC., a California corporation; PREMIER
24	RESIDENTIAL, INC., a California
~~	corporation; VIMARK RE ENTERPRISES,
25	LLC, a California limited liability company,
	DOES 1 through 100, inclusive; ROE
26	CORPORATIONS 1 through 100; ROE
_	BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 100,

Discovery Plan to the Court for filing. The very next day, on July 28, 2010, IRWIN's counsel received a telephone call from Plaintiff's counsel's office and was informed by Plaintiff's counsel's office that Plaintiff's counsel intended to file the Discovery Plan on July 29, 2010 and if IRWIN had any comments or objections to the Discovery Plan – which IRWIN's counsel advised that IRWIN did have – there would be no time to incorporate any of IRWIN's comments and objections into the Discovery Plan and circulate it to all of the other parties for review and signature.

2. IRWIN also objects on the ground that the Discovery Plan submitted by Plaintiff's counsel is misleading and inaccurate in the following respects:

First, the title of the document ("Stipulated Discovery Plan and Proposed Scheduling Order") suggests that all parties had stipulated to the Discovery Plan (or at least been given the opportunity to do so), when in fact that is not accurate. Not all parties had stipulated to and/or signed off on the Discovery Plan, and Irwin certainly did not.

Second, the Discovery Plan also appears to imply that IRWIN simply chose not to attend the April 29, 2010 Meeting of Counsel when, in fact, IRWIN's did not even appear in the action until June 14, 2010. To the best of IRWIN's counsel's knowledge, it does not appear that IRWIN was even given prior notice of the Meeting.

Third, the signature block for IRWIN in the Discovery Plan does not have IRWIN's counsel's law firm's information on it, even though IRWIN's counsel formally appeared in the action on June 14, 2010 and was in communications with Plaintiff's counsel regarding the Discovery Plan. Moreover, the Discovery Plan falsely states that IRWIN had "failed to appear in case at this time." This is clearly inaccurate. IRWIN filed its Answer and Cross-Claim on June 14, 2010.

3. IRWIN believes that had the Court been provided with complete and accurate information in the Discovery Plan, or been made aware of IRWIN's comments and objections, the Court may not have signed the present version of the Scheduling Order. Although IRWIN has since been advised by Jeremy Beasley of Plaintiff's counsel's office that Plaintiff's counsel intends to

1	prepare and circulate an <u>amended</u> discovery plan and proposed scheduling order at some point after
2	Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint is heard and ruled
3	upon², IRWIN believes it is necessary to file these objections now to preserve them and make sure
4	that the record is clear in the event that, for some reason an amended discovery plan and scheduling
5	order are not filed or entered in this case.
6	
7	DATED: August 9, 2010 PFEIFER & DE LA MORA, LLP
8	
9	Pro /a/ Michael P. Dfoifor
10	By: <u>/s/ Michael R. Pfeifer</u> MICHAEL R. PFEIFER
11	Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Claimant IRWIN MORTGAGE CORPORATION
12	
13	
14	THE COURT HAS APPROVED THE AMENDED STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER (#71). IRWIN MORTGAGE'S OBJECTIONS
15	(#70) ARE DENIED AS MOOT.
16	IT IS SO ODDEDED
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	4/Leanso
19	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20	DATED:9-2-10
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Pursuant to the Court's Order signed and filed on July 1, 2010, discovery in this action is stayed as
26	to Countrywide pending an order resolving Countrywide's Motion to Dismiss Second Amended
27	Complaint. 5
7.63	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

.21

I hereby certify that on August 10, 2010, I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing DEFENDANT/CROSS-CLAIMANT IRWIN MORTGAGE CORPORATION'S OBJECTIONS TO STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER FILED ON JULY 30, 2010 by Electronic Service via CM/ECF System in accordance with the electronic filing procedures of this Court.

Employee of PFEIFER & DE LA MORA, LLI