| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | NETRICT COURT |
| 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 4 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | COPPER SANDS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., et al., |) |
| 7 | Plaintiffs, |) |
| 8 | |) 2:10-cv-00510-GMN-LRL |
| 9 | V. |) ORDER |
| 10 | COPPER SANDS REALTY, LLC, et al., |) |
| 11 | Defendants. |) |
| 12 | Before the court is plaintiffs' Motion to Re | econsider Minute Order Staying Discovery (#80). |
| 13 | Plaintiffs request reconsideration of this court's May 4, 2010 Minute Order (#21). Plaintiffs' allege that | |
| 14 | in its minute order of May 4, 2010 the court granted the motion to stay discovery before plaintiffs had | |
| 15 | an opportunity to respond. ¹ | |
| 16 | Plaintiffs misapprehend the scope of the minute order entered on May 4, 2010. That order | |
| 17 | merely set a briefing schedule on the motion to stay discovery, and stayed discovery involving | |
| 18 | Countrywide until the motion for a stay was fully briefed and ruled upon by the court. Accordingly, as | |
| 19 | ordered, Countrywide filed a reply (#34) to plaintiffs' opposition on May 14, 2010. Thereafter, on July | |
| 20 | 1, 2010, having considered the motion for a stay (#17), <i>plaintiffs' opposition (#24)</i> , and Countrywide's | |
| 21 | reply (#34), this court entered an order granting Countrywide's motion for a stay pending resolution of | |
| 22 | its motion to dismiss. See Order (#60). | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |

26

25

¹ Plaintiffs' response (#24) was filed on May 5, 2010, the day after the court entered its minute order.

| 1 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiffs' Motion to Reconsider Minute Order Staying |
|----|--|
| 2 | Discovery (#80) is denied. |
| 3 | DATED this 18th day of October, 2010. |
| 4 | 1AL and |
| 5 | LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT |
| 6 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | 2 |
| | |