1		
1 2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	MICHAEL CLARK,	
11	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:10-CV-00589-KJD-PAL
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	LEE GRIGGS,	
14	Defendant.	
15		1
16	Currently before the Court is Defendant's Motion for Enlargement of Time (#12). Plaintiff	
17	filed a Response in Opposition (#13). No reply was filed. Additionally before the Court is	
18	Plaintiff's Motion for Default (#17). Plaintiff filed a Response in Opposition (#14), to which	
19	Plaintiff filed a Reply (#18). The Court has reviewed the Motions, and issues its ruling on each	
20	together herein.	
21	Defendant's Motion seeks that the Court grant a forty-five day extension of time pursuant to	
22	Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A), in which to file an answer or responsive pleading due to a change in lead	
23	counsel. Upon review, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby grants Defendant's Motion for	
24	Extension of Time (#12).	
25	Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time, avers that	
26	the extension should be denied for lack of go	od cause, and additionally seeks that the Court enter

1	default against Defendants. Upon review, the Court finds that Plaintiff's Motion for Default is		
2	unsupported and premature pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55. In federal court, default judgments are		
3	generally disfavored. See Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472 (9th Cir. 1986); see also Lau Ah		
4	Yew v. Dulles, 236 F.2d 415, 416 (9th Cir. 1956) (upholding denial of motion for entry of default		
5	judgment where answer was untimely). A default judgment may only issue if Defendants do not		
6	answer or respond to the Plaintiff's complaint. Entry of default is only proper "[w]hen a party		
7	against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend"		
8	Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).		
9	Here, the Defendant has made an appearance, and is clearly planning to defend himself		
10	against Plaintiff's claims. Additionally, Defendant has appropriately and adequately sought an		
11	extension of time in which to file an answer.		
12	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Enlargement of		
13	Time (#12) is GRANTED , nunc pro tunc.		
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Default (#17) is DENIED .		
15	DATED this 31st day of January, 2011.		
16	Kent		
17	Kent J. Dawson		
18	United States District Judge		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	2		