			6
			7
			8
			9
	100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106	6 7 8 9
			11
LP			12
ahr, L			13
Ballard Spahr, LLP			14
Balla			15
100 N	100		16
		17	
			18
			19
			20
			21
			11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
			23
			24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i), Plaintiff Eli Lilly and Company ("Lilly"), by and through their counsel, file this voluntary dismissal without prejudice. On July 21, 2010, Lilly and defendants Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc., and Watson Pharma, Inc. (collectively "Defendants") filed a joint motion in Eli Lilly and Company v. Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-00549-SEB-WGH (S.D. Ind. filed May 3, 2010), wherein Defendants agreed that they shall not contest jurisdiction or venue in that action. (See Ex. 1 (D.I. 13), ¶5.) The motion was granted on July 22, 2010. (See Ex. 2 (D.I. 14).) In view thereof, Lilly hereby gives notice that the above-captioned action is voluntarily dismissed, without prejudice, against the Defendants.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of August, 2010.

/s/ Stanley W. Parry

Stanley W. Parry, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 1417

BALLARD SPAHR LLP

100 North City Parkway

Suite 1750

Las Vegas, NV 89106-4617

Telephone: (702) 471-7000

Email: bundickj@ballardspahr.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

ELI LIILY AND COMPANY

Charles E. Lipsey

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Two Freedom Square

11955 Freedom Drive

Reston, Virginia 20190

(571) 203-2700

		1	
		2	
		3	
Danau Spain, LL1 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8	It is	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DATED: _	
	10		
	/2U 5	11	
	8910	12	
	way, S vada	13	
יים קטיים	/ Fark	14	
Dall	A. City as Veg	1.5	
0	100 L	16	
		17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
		23	
		24	
		25	
		2627	
		27	
			11

28

Laura P. Masurovsky
Mark J. Feldstein
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-4413
(202) 408-4000

It is SO ORDERED.

DATED: // //eg___, 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) CASE NO. 1:10-cv-0549 SEB-WGH
WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., WATSON LABORATORIES, INC., AND)
WATSON PHARMA, INC.,)
Defendants.)

AGREED MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS PENDING FEDERAL CIRCUIT'S RULING IN ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Plaintiff Eli Lilly and Company ("Lilly"), by counsel, and Defendants Watson

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc. and Watson Pharma, Inc. ("Watson"), by

counsel, hereby request the Court enter an order staying these proceedings pending a decision by

the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit") in *Eli Lilly and Company v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, Case No. 1:06-cv-1017-SEB-JMS (the "Lilly/Teva Action")

regarding the validity of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,458,811, 6,797,719, and/or 6,894,064 owned by Lilly

("the Lilly patents"). In support of such request, the parties state:

- 1. On May 3, 2010, Lilly filed its Complaint for patent infringement against Watson in which it alleges that Watson's filing of Abbreviated New Drug Application No. 200825 infringes the Lilly patents, all of which are listed within FDA's Publication entitled "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" (commonly known as the "Orange Book") in connection with Lilly's EVISTA® (Raloxifene Hydrochloride) product.
- 2. In the Lilly/Teva Action, on September 23, 2009, this Court entered its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law following a bench trial ("September 23, 2009 Findings") in

which it, in part, held that certain claims in the Lilly patents were invalid for lack of written description. The Lilly patents at issue in the Lilly/Teva Action are the same patents at issue in this case.

- 3. Cross-appeals of the September 23, 2009 Findings, including Lilly's appeal of this Court's determination that the Lilly patents are invalid, are fully briefed and oral argument before the Federal Circuit panel occurred on June 7, 2010.
- 4. The parties agree that due to the overlapping issues in this case and in the Lilly/Teva Action, in the interest of judicial economy and efficiency, these proceedings should be stayed pending a decision by the Federal Circuit in the Lilly/Teva Action as to the validity of one or more of the Lilly patents.
- 5. Watson disputes that jurisdiction and venue for the claims within Lilly's Complaint are proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, but Watson hereby agrees that it shall not contest jurisdiction or venue in this action.

WHEREFORE, the parties request the Court enter an order staying these proceedings pending a decision by the Federal Circuit as to the validity of one or more of the Lilly patents or upon written request to the Court by either party, and for all other proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Michael Rabinowitch

Michael Rabinowitch, #18117-49 WOODEN & MCLAUGHLIN LLP One Indiana Square, Suite 1800 Indianapolis, IN 46204-4208

Attorney for Defendants Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Watson Laboratories, Inc. and Watson Pharma, Inc. s/ Jan M. Carroll¹

Jan M. Carroll, #4187-49 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 S. Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Attorney for Plaintiff Eli Lilly and Company

Signed by Michael Rabinowitch pursuant to authority and with consent of counsel.

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

)
))
) CASE NO. 1:10-cv-0549 SEB-WGH
))
))
)

ORDER GRANTING AGREED MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS

This matter is before the Court on the parties' Agreed Motion to Stay Proceedings
Pending Federal Circuit's Ruling in *Eli Lilly and Company v. Teva Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*.

The Court, after having considered the matter and being otherwise duly advised, now finds that the Motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that these proceedings are stayed pending a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Eli Lilly and Company v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*, Case No. 1:06-cv-1017-SEB-JMS (the "Lilly/Teva Action") regarding the validity of one or more of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,458,811, 6,797,719, and/or 6,894,064 owned by Lilly or upon written request to the Court by either party.

Dated this 22nd day of July, 2010.

WILLIAM G. HUSSMANN, JR. Magistrate Judge

Distribution:

Michael Rabinowitch WOODEN & MCLAUGHLIN LLP 211 N. Pennsylvania One Indiana Square, Suite 1800 Indianapolis, IN 46204-4208

Jan M. Carroll
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
11 S. Meridian Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204