

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
5

6 RIGHTHAVEN LLC,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 EMTCITY.COM, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.  
11


Case No. 2:10-cv-00854-HDM-PAL

**ORDER**

12 This matter is before the court on Defendant EMTCity's failure to file a Certificate as to  
13 Interested Parties as required by LR 7.1-1. The Complaint (Dkt. #1) in this matter was filed June 4,  
14 2010. Defendant EMTCity.com's Answer (Dkt. #35) was filed March 16, 2011. LR 7.1-1(a) requires,  
15 unless otherwise ordered, that in all cases (except *habeas corpus* cases) *pro se* litigants and counsel for  
16 private parties shall, upon entering a case, identify in the disclosure statement required by Fed. R. Civ.  
17 P. 7.1 all persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships or corporations (including parent  
18 corporations) which have a direct, pecuniary interest in the outcome of the case. LR 7.1-1(b) further  
19 states that if there are no known interested parties, other than those participating in the case, a statement  
20 to that effect must be filed. Additionally, LR 7.1-1(c) requires a party to promptly file a supplemental  
21 certification upon any change in the information that this rule requires. To date, Defendant  
22 EMTCity.com has failed to comply. Accordingly,

23 **IT IS ORDERED** Defendant EMTCity.com shall file its Certificate as to Interested Parties,  
24 which fully complies with LR 7.1-1 **no later than 4:00 p.m., April 18, 2011**. Failure to comply may  
25 result in the issuance of an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed.

26 Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2011.

27   
28 Peggy A. Leen  
United States Magistrate Judge