Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Review under Rule 12(b)(6) is essentially a ruling on a question of law. *See Chappel v. Laboratory Corp. of America*, 232 F.3d 719, 723 (9th Cir. 2000). A properly pled complaint must provide a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a)(2); *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombley*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). Although Rule 8 does not require detailed factual allegations, it demands "more than labels and conclusions" or a "formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (*citing Papasan v. Allain*, 478 U.S. 265, 286 (1986)). The court must accept as true all well-pled factual allegations contained in the complaint, but the same requirement does not apply to legal conclusions. *Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. at 1950. Mere recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported only by conclusory allegations, do not suffice. *Id.* at 1949. Secondly, where the claims in the complaint have not crossed the line from plausible to conceivable, the complaint should be dismissed. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 570.

Plaintiff filed her complaint on the court's form civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege the violation of a right secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States and must show that the alleged deprivation was committed by a person acting under color of state law. *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42 (1988) (citation omitted). "The purpose of § 1983 is to deter *state actors* from using the badge of their authority to deprive individuals of their federally guaranteed rights." *McDade v. West*, 223 F.3d 1135, 1139 (emphasis added) (*citing Wyatt v. Cole*, 504 U.S. 158, 161 (1992)). Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that Kaplan College discriminated, retaliated, and harassed her–ultimately resulting in her expulsion–based on a direct order by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and the Federal Bureau of Investigation because of her "target status." Plaintiff's Complaint does not state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Plaintiff may be attempting to state a claim under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681, or under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. Title IX provides, in pertinent part, that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 20 U.S.C. § 1681. Similarly, Title VI

prevents discrimination based on race, color, or national origin by any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. *See* 42 U.S.C. §2000d. However, Plaintiff has not stated any facts to support her claim, nor has she alleged upon what basis she was discriminated against, retaliated against, or harassed. Plaintiff's Complaint merely makes conclusory statements that she was discriminated against, retaliated against, and harassed based upon her "target status." Thus, Plaintiff's Complaint will be dismissed with leave to amend.

If Plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, she must set forth the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends. Fed. R.Civ. P. 8(a); LR 8-1. In addition, Plaintiff is informed that the Court cannot refer to a prior pleading (i.e., her original complaint) in order to make the amended complaint complete. This is because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. *See Loux v. Rhay*, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Local Rule 15-1 requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. Once a plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original complaint no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.

## Accordingly,

- 1. The Clerk of the Court shall file the Complaint.
- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint shall be **DISMISSED** with leave to amend. Plaintiff shall have **thirty days** from the date of this order to file her Amended Complaint, if she believes she can correct the noted deficiencies. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation to the District Judge that the Complaint be dismissed.

Dated this 30th day of June, 2010.

PEGGY A. LEZ

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE